

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE **Fiscal Note**

357 HLS 14RS Fiscal Note On: HB 101

Analyst: Patrice Thomas

Legislative Fiscal Officer

Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.: Sub. Bill For .:

Date: May 6, 2014

8:41 AM

Author: GREENE

Dept./Agy.: Supreme Court/Judicial

Subject: Allocation of Time of A Child Between Parents

Page 1 of 1

EG NO IMPACT See Note CHILDREN/CUSTODY Provides relative to ex parte orders of temporary child custody

Present law provides procedures for ex parte orders of temporary custody of a minor child and requires the court to allocate time between the parents when an ex parte order is denied. Proposed law clarifies in present law that the allocation of time occurs at the hearing on the rule to show cause why the respondent should not be awarded the custody, joint custody, or visitation of the child.

EXPENDITURES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure. Proposed legislation clarifies that the allocation of time of a child between parents occurs at the hearing on the rule to show cause when both parties are present. This measures is not anticipated to change court procedures in child custody proceedings.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

Change {S&H}

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

Se	<u>nate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u> <u>Ho</u>	<u>ouse</u>	$6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}$	lohn D.	Caganter
	13.5.1 >= \$:100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}	}	$6.8(F)(2) >= $500,000 \text{ State Rev. Reduc. } \{H \& S\}$		
	13 5 2 > = ¢	500,000 Annual Tax or Fee			John D. Carpenter	
13.3.2 / - \$300,000 Allilual Tax of Tee			6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax of Fee Increase	Lagislativa Figaal Offices		

or a Net Fee Decrease {S}