

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE **Fiscal Note**

956 HLS 14RS 1299 Fiscal Note On: HB

Bill Text Version: REENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For .:

Date: May 13, 2014

9:52 AM

Author: STOKES

Analyst: Patrice Thomas

Dept./Agy.: Statewide **Subject:** Pay Equality

EMPLOYMENT/WAGES

Provides with respect to pay equality

RE See Note Page 1 of 1

Proposed law provides that it shall be unlawful to pay an individual less than another individual on the basis of sex, when both individuals work on jobs that require equal skill, effort, and responsibility, and which are performed under similar working conditions. Proposed law requires that an employer may not reduce the wages of any other employee in order to comply with proposed law. Proposed law adds to the list of factors that constitute discrimination outlined in present law, any other differential based on any factor other than sex.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2014-15</u>	<u>2015-16</u>	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds SEE BELOW		SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

This proposed legislation may increase governmental expenditures by an indeterminable amount as a result of civil suits and recovery actions filed by employees towards an employer alleging intentional pay inequality based on sex under this measure. To the extent the proposed legislation increases the number of pay inequality lawsuits filed in district courts, an indeterminable increase in court costs associated with judicial workload, time and attendance (presence of judges, clerks, bailiffs, counsel, etc.) may result.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

Change {S&H}

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>House</u>	6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	John D. Capater
13.5.1 >=	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {	(S&H)	$6.8(F)(2) >= $500,000 \text{ State Rev. Reduc. } \{H \& S\}$	
□ _{12 5 2 > -}	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee			John D. Carpenter
13.3.2 >-	Change (Co.H)		6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Legislative Fiscal Officer

or a Net Fee Decrease {S}