SLS 14RS-56

ENGROSSED

Regular Session, 2014

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 55

BY SENATOR APPEL

BESE. Provides for legislative approval of the minimum foundation program formula for the 2014-2015 fiscal year. (8/1/14)

1	A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION
2	To provide for legislative approval of the formula developed by the State Board of
3	Elementary and Secondary Education pursuant to Article VIII, Section 13(B) of the
4	Constitution of Louisiana to determine the cost of a minimum foundation program
5	of education in all public elementary and secondary schools as well as to equitably
6	allocate the funds to parish and city school systems, adopted by the board on March
7	13, 2014, and amended by the board on May 12, 2014.
8	WHEREAS, the Minimum Foundation Program formula for the 2014-2015 Fiscal
9	Year was adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education on March 13,
10	2014, and submitted to the legislature for approval on March 14, 2014, in accordance with
11	applicable law; and
12	WHEREAS, the Minimum Foundation Program formula for the 2014-2015 Fiscal
13	Year adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education on March 13,
14	2014, was not approved by the legislature; and
15	WHEREAS, the Minimum Foundation Program formula for the 2014-2015 Fiscal
16	Year adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education on March 13,
17	2014, was amended by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education on May 12,
18	2014, and was resubmitted to the legislature for approval on May 14, 2014, and reads as

1	follows:
2	PROPOSED
3	FY 2014-2015 MINIMUM FOUNDATION PROGRAM FORMULA
4	The FY 2014-15 Minimum Foundation Program (MFP) formula was adopted
5	by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education on March 13, 2014, and
6	amended May 12, 2014.
7	The formula determines allocations for city, parish, or other public school
8	systems or schools. The definition of city, parish, or local public school systems and
9	schools shall include city or parish school systems, Recovery School District
10	including operated and Type 5 charter schools, Louisiana School for Math, Science,
11	and the Arts (LSMSA), New Orleans Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA), New Type
12	2 Charter schools, Legacy Type 2 Charter schools, Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ)
13	schools, and Louisiana State University and Southern University Lab schools. The
14	formula is divided into four calculations as follows:
15	Level 1 determines the minimum cost of education based on the education
16	needs of each student. The cost includes funding for students enrolled in city and
17	parish school systems, Recovery School District operated and Type 5 Charter
18	schools, and New Type 2 Charter schools. The cost is then shared equitably between
19	the State and the city and parish school systems based on the ability of the systems
20	to support education in their communities through local sales and property tax
21	revenues.
22	Level 2 provides an incentive for city and parish school systems to support
23	education in their communities above the minimum level of financial support
24	required.
25	Level 3 provides specific legislative education funding to city and parish
26	school systems for continuing teacher and support worker pay raises, the Hold
27	Harmless provision, and mandated operating costs.
28	Level 4 provides additional funding including:
29	• Supplementary funding to meet specific needs at city, parish, or other

30

local school systems and schools including Foreign Associate

1	Teacher Salary and Stipends Allocation, Career Development
2	Allocation, High Cost Services Allocation, and Supplemental Course
3	Allocation.
4	• Allocations for State-Approved public school systems or schools
5	including Legacy Type 2 Charter schools, Louisiana State University
6	and Southern University Lab schools, New Orleans Center for
7	Creative Arts (NOCCA), Louisiana School for Math, Science, and
8	Arts (LSMSA), and Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) schools.
9	• Mid-Year Allocation Adjustments to account for mid-year increases
10	and decreases in students in all city, parish, or other local public
11	school systems or schools.
12	State MFP funds shall only be expended for educational purposes.
13	Expenditures for educational purposes are those expenditures related to the
14	operational and instructional activities of city, parish, or other public school systems
15	or schools to include: instructional programs, pupil support programs, instructional
16	staff programs, school administration, general administration, business services,
17	operations and maintenance of plant services, student transportation services, food
18	services operations, enterprise operations, community services operations, facility
19	acquisition and construction services and debt services as defined by Louisiana
20	Accounting and Uniform Governmental Handbook, Bulletin 1929.
21	I. FORMULA CALCULATIONS
22	A. Level 1: Calculate State and Local Cost Allocation
23	1. Determine Educational Costs
24	Eligible students from the following school systems and schools will be
25	counted in both the Base and Weighted Student Memberships:
26	1. City and Parish school systems
27	2. State-Approved Public Schools - The student counts of the following
28	state-approved schools shall be included in the membership and
29	weighted student counts of the city or parish school system in which
30	the student resides:

1	a) New Type 2 Charter schools.
2	3. Recovery School District - The student membership and weighted
3	student counts of schools transferred to the Recovery School District,
4	both operated and Type 5 Charter schools, shall continue to be
5	included in the membership and weighted student counts of the city
6	or parish school system from which jurisdiction of the school was
7	transferred.
8	STEP ONE: Determine the Number of Eligible Students for the Base Count
9	The formula first counts each of the students enrolled on February 1 to
10	determine the cost of education services. The formula utilizes the February 1 Student
11	Membership Count to allocate funding beginning in July. The students eligible to
12	be counted are identified in the Student Membership Definition adopted by the State
13	Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. These students are counted as one
14	(1.0) in the formula.
15	STEP TWO: Determine the Number of Students Eligible for the Weighted
16	Student Count
16 17	Student Count The formula recognizes that providing educational services to meet the needs
17	The formula recognizes that providing educational services to meet the needs
17 18	The formula recognizes that providing educational services to meet the needs of particular students is more costly than regular educational services. Each special
17 18 19	The formula recognizes that providing educational services to meet the needs of particular students is more costly than regular educational services. Each special characteristic or need is given a numerical value referred to as a weight. The special
17 18 19 20	The formula recognizes that providing educational services to meet the needs of particular students is more costly than regular educational services. Each special characteristic or need is given a numerical value referred to as a weight. The special needs of each student are taken into consideration by multiplying the student count
17 18 19 20 21	The formula recognizes that providing educational services to meet the needs of particular students is more costly than regular educational services. Each special characteristic or need is given a numerical value referred to as a weight. The special needs of each student are taken into consideration by multiplying the student count for each eligible child (1.0) by each of the weights. This calculation provides
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	The formula recognizes that providing educational services to meet the needs of particular students is more costly than regular educational services. Each special characteristic or need is given a numerical value referred to as a weight. The special needs of each student are taken into consideration by multiplying the student count for each eligible child (1.0) by each of the weights. This calculation provides additional students that are then added to the February 1 Student Membership Count
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	The formula recognizes that providing educational services to meet the needs of particular students is more costly than regular educational services. Each special characteristic or need is given a numerical value referred to as a weight. The special needs of each student are taken into consideration by multiplying the student count for each eligible child (1.0) by each of the weights. This calculation provides additional students that are then added to the February 1 Student Membership Count to equal the Total Weighted Student Membership Count. The students and units
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	The formula recognizes that providing educational services to meet the needs of particular students is more costly than regular educational services. Each special characteristic or need is given a numerical value referred to as a weight. The special needs of each student are taken into consideration by multiplying the student count for each eligible child (1.0) by each of the weights. This calculation provides additional students that are then added to the February 1 Student Membership Count to equal the Total Weighted Student Membership Count. The students and units eligible to be counted are identified in the Student Membership Definition adopted
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	The formula recognizes that providing educational services to meet the needs of particular students is more costly than regular educational services. Each special characteristic or need is given a numerical value referred to as a weight. The special needs of each student are taken into consideration by multiplying the student count for each eligible child (1.0) by each of the weights. This calculation provides additional students that are then added to the February 1 Student Membership Count to equal the Total Weighted Student Membership Count. The students and units eligible to be counted are identified in the Student Membership Definition adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education.
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	The formula recognizes that providing educational services to meet the needs of particular students is more costly than regular educational services. Each special characteristic or need is given a numerical value referred to as a weight. The special needs of each student are taken into consideration by multiplying the student count for each eligible child (1.0) by each of the weights. This calculation provides additional students that are then added to the February 1 Student Membership Count to equal the Total Weighted Student Membership Count. The students and units eligible to be counted are identified in the Student Membership Definition adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. The following are the additional costs recognized by the formula:
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	The formula recognizes that providing educational services to meet the needs of particular students is more costly than regular educational services. Each special characteristic or need is given a numerical value referred to as a weight. The special needs of each student are taken into consideration by multiplying the student count for each eligible child (1.0) by each of the weights. This calculation provides additional students that are then added to the February 1 Student Membership Count to equal the Total Weighted Student Membership Count. The students and units eligible to be counted are identified in the Student Membership Definition adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. The following are the additional costs recognized by the formula: Low Income and English Language Learner Weight - The formula

1

2

3

4

qualify for free or reduced price meals under the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) School Food Service Program guidelines, or an alternative count as defined in the Student Membership Definition, and students identified as an English Language Learner are provided a weight of 22%.

5 **Career and Technical Education Weight** - The formula recognizes that the 6 cost of providing materials and equipment, and teacher credentialing and training, 7 for Career and Technical Education courses is above and beyond the cost of 8 traditional academic education. Each secondary career and technical education 9 course in which a student is enrolled in both the fall and spring semesters is provided 10 a weight of 6%.

11 **Special Education Weight** - The formula addresses the extra cost associated 12 with the delivery of services required in order to meet the needs of students with 13 disabilities. Students with disabilities that have an Individualized Education Plan 14 (IEP) developed according to State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education 15 regulations are provided a weight of 150%.

16Gifted and Talented Weight - The formula recognizes the cost of providing17educational services to Gifted and Talented students that have an Individualized18Education Plan (IEP) developed according to State Board of Elementary and19Secondary Education regulations and are provided a 60% weight.

Economy of Scale Weight - This weight is provided for city or parish school 20 21 systems to recognize a base amount of funding for fixed overhead costs that should be provided when student populations equal 7,500 students or less. The Economy of 22 Scale Weight is calculated as a curvilinear weight of 20% at a student membership 23 count of zero down to 0% at a student membership count equal to or greater than 24 7,500. To calculate this weight, the February 1 Student Membership Count for each 25 city and parish school system is subtracted from 7,500 and divided by 37,500. The 26 27 result of this calculation is then multiplied by each system's February 1 Student Membership Count to determine the weighted students. 28

29 STEP THREE: Determine Total Weighted Student Membership Count
 30 Formula:

1	Total Weighted Student Membership Count
2	Equals
3	February 1 Student Membership Count (1.0)
4	Plus
5	Low Income and English Language Learner Weight
6	Career and Technical Education Weight
7	Special Education Weight
8	Gifted and Talented Weight
9	Economy of Scale Weight
10	STEP FOUR: Identify the State and Local Base Cost Per Pupil
11	Formula:
12	The State and Local Base Cost Per Pupil shall equal \$3,855 plus 2.75
13	percent times \$3,855 for a total of \$3,961.
14	STEP FIVE: Determine Total MFP Educational Costs
15	<u>Formula:</u>
16	Total MFP Educational Costs
17	Equals
18	Total Weighted Student Membership Count
19	Multiplied by
20	State and Local Base Cost Per Pupil
21	2. Determine State and Local Cost Allocation
22	The Total MFP Educational Costs are shared between the State and the city
23	or parish school systems. The ability of school systems to support the cost of
24	education in their communities is measured by the potential to raise local revenue.
25	This potential contribution is measured by the following three factors using the latest
26	available data as reported through the Annual Financial Report (AFR) as required by
27	R.S. 17:92:
28	1. Local Property Tax Revenue Contribution
29	2. Local Sales Tax Revenue Contribution
30	3. Other Local Revenue Contribution

1	STEP ONE - Determine the Local Property Tax Revenue Contribution
2	<u>Formula:</u>
3	Local Property Tax Revenue Contribution
4	Equals
5	State Computed Property Tax Millage (debt and non-debt)
6	Times
7	Net Assessed Property Value
8	Net Assessed Property Value Increase Cap - If a school system's Net
9	Assessed Property Value has increased equal to or greater than 10% over the prior
10	year Net Assessed Property Value, then the growth in the Net Assessed Property
11	Value is capped at 10%. This cap is applied on a year-to-year basis comparing the
12	current year Net Assessed Property Value to the prior year uncapped Net Assessed
13	Property Value.
14	Computed Property Tax Millage - The Computed Property Tax Millage is
15	calculated annually at the rate necessary to maintain a state and local allocation ratio
16	of 65%/35%.
17	STEP TWO - Determine the Local Sales Tax Revenue Contribution
18	<u>Formula:</u>
19	Local Sales Tax Revenue Contribution
20	Equals
21	Computed Sales Tax Base (debt and non-debt) (including TIF areas)
22	Multiplied By
23	State Computed Sales Tax Rate
24	Mid-Year Rate Increases - If a local school system's sales tax goes into
25	effect during the fiscal year, the tax rate is prorated to an annual rate applicable for
26	the total revenue generated.
27	Sales Tax Increase Cap - If a system's Computed Sales Tax Base increased
28	equal to or greater than 15% over the Computed Sales Tax Base calculated in the
29	prior year formula, then the growth in the Computed Sales Tax Base will be capped
30	at 15% over the amount used in the prior year formula. This cap will be applied on

1	a year-to-year basis comparing the current year sales tax base to the prior year
2	uncapped sales tax base.
3	Computed Sales Tax Rate - The Computed Sales Tax Rate is calculated
4	annually at the rate necessary to maintain a state and local allocation ratio of
5	65%/35%.
6	STEP THREE - Determine Other Local Revenue Contribution
7	<u>Formula:</u>
8	Other Local Revenue Contribution
9	Equals
10	State Revenue in lieu of taxes
11	Plus
12	Federal Revenue in lieu of taxes
13	Plus
14	50% of Earnings on Property
15	STEP FOUR - Determine Local Cost Allocation
16	<u>Formula:</u>
17	Local Cost Allocation
18	Equals
19	Property Tax Contribution
20	Plus
21	Sales Tax Contribution
22	Plus
23	Other Revenues Contribution
24	STEP FIVE - Determine State Cost Allocation
25	Formula:
26	State Cost Allocation
27	Equals
28	Total State and Local Cost
29	Minus
30	Local Cost Allocation

1	Minimum State Cost Allocation - In no event shall the State Cost
2	Allocation be less than 25% of Total Level 1 Cost for any city or parish school
3	system.
4	B. Level 2: Incentive for Local Effort
5	Level 2 provides incentives for city and parish school systems that contribute
6	a greater proportion of local revenues towards the cost of education in their
7	communities by increasing local property and sales tax revenues. This effort is
8	measured using the latest available data for the following sources of revenue as
9	reported in the Annual Financial Report (AFR) as required in R. S. 17:92.
10	1. Total Sales Taxes
11	2. Total Property Taxes
12	3. State and Federal Revenue in Lieu of Taxes
13	4. 50% Earnings on Property Revenue
14	STEP ONE - Determine Eligible Local Revenue
15	<u>Formula:</u>
16	Eligible Local Revenue
17	Equals
18	Total Sales Tax Revenue
19	Plus
20	Total Property Tax Revenue
21	Plus
22	State and Federal Revenue in Lieu of Taxes
23	Plus
24	50% of Earnings on Property Revenue
25	STEP TWO - Determine Local Revenue Eligible for Incentive
26	<u>Formula:</u>
27	Local Revenue Eligible for Incentive
28	Equals
29	Eligible Local Revenue
30	Minus

1	Local Cost Allocation
2	STEP THREE - Determine the Limit on Revenue Eligible for Incentive
3	<u>Formula:</u>
4	Limit on Revenue Eligible for Incentive
5	Equals
6	Total State and Local Cost Allocation
7	Multiplied by
8	34%
9	STEP FOUR - Determine Local Support of Level 2 Incentive
10	<u>Formula:</u>
11	Local Support of Level 2 Incentive
12	Equals
13	Lesser of Local Revenue Eligible for Incentive or Limit on Local
14	Revenue Eligible for Incentive
15	Multiplied by
16	Local Cost Allocation Percentage (Level 1)
17	Multiplied by
18	Level 2 Incentive Factor
19	Level 2 Incentive Factor - The Level 2 Incentive Factor determines the
20	amount of local support required in Level 2. In FY 14-15, the Level 2 Incentive
21	Factor is established at 1.72.
22	STEP FIVE - Determine State Cost of Level 2 Incentive
23	<u>Formula:</u>
24	State Support of Level 2 Incentive
25	Equals
26	Lesser of Local Revenue Eligible for Incentive or Limit on Local
27	Revenue Eligible for Incentive
28	Minus
29	Local Support of Level 2 Incentive
30	C. Level 3: Legislative Allocations

1	Level 3 provides funding for three programs that address funding for school
2	systems and schools regarding teacher and support worker pay raises, Hold Harmless
3	funding, and mandated operating costs, and are in addition to allocations provided
4	in Level 1 and 2. These allocations are as follows:
5	Formula:
6	Total Level 3 Legislative Allocations
7	Equals
8	Continuation Pay Raises
9	Plus
10	Hold Harmless Enhancement
11	Plus
12	Mandated Costs in Health Insurance, Retirement, and Fuel
13	STEP ONE: Calculate Continuation Funding for Pay Raises
14	1. Certificated Personnel Pay Raises were implemented in four recent years to
15	assist in increasing Teacher and Principal pay to the Southern Regional
16	Average. These funds continue to be provided directly to systems and
17	schools to support these increased salaries.
18	a. Certificated Personnel Pay Raises provided in 2001-02, 2006-07,
19	2007-08, and 2008-09 will continue for each school system and
20	school based on the calculated per pupil amount times the February
21	1 Student Membership Count.
22	2. Noncertificated Support Worker Pay Raises were implemented in three
23	recent years to assist with increasing these salaries.
24	a. Noncertificated Support Worker Pay Raises provided in 2002-03,
25	2006-07, and 2007-08 will continue for each school system and
26	school based on the calculated per pupil amount times the February
27	1 Student Membership Count.
28	3. This provision applies to city and parish school systems, Recovery School
29	District, New Orleans Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA), Louisiana School
30	for Math, Science, and the Arts (LSMSA), Legacy Type 2 Charter Schools,

1	New Type 2 Charter Schools, Louisiana State University and Southern
2	University Lab schools, and Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ).
3	STEP TWO: Calculate Hold Harmless Enhancement
4	Participating School Systems - The following school systems have a
5	remaining Hold Harmless or "overfunded" allocation: Concordia, East Baton Rouge,
6	Evangeline, Iberville, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Charles, St. James, and West
7	Feliciana.
8	Allocation Adjustment - After subtracting amounts attributable to insurance
9	supplements and legislative pay raises provided between FY 1993-94 and FY
10	1998-99 from the FY 2006-07 Hold Harmless amount, a revised Hold Harmless
11	amount is calculated. Each of the remaining Hold Harmless school systems will
12	receive a reduction of 10% to their remaining Hold Harmless allocation. On an
13	annual basis, any Hold Harmless system may choose to reduce the remaining balance
14	by an amount greater than 10% through formal notification to the Louisiana
15	Department of Education. This request must take place no later than June 30th each
16	year.
17	Redistribution Allocation - The annual 10% reduction amount will be
18	redistributed in a per pupil amount to all non-hold harmless systems.
19	STEP THREE: Determine Allocation for Increasing Mandated Costs in Health
20	Insurance, Retirement, and Fuel
•	City and parish school systems shall receive a minimum of \$100.00 for each
21	student in the prior year February 1 membership to offset these increasing
21 22	
	operational costs.
22	operational costs. The following formula is applied to determine the Level 1, 2, and 3 State
22 23	-
22 23 24	The following formula is applied to determine the Level 1, 2, and 3 State
22 23 24 25	The following formula is applied to determine the Level 1, 2, and 3 State Cost Allocation Per Pupil:
 22 23 24 25 26 	The following formula is applied to determine the Level 1, 2, and 3 State Cost Allocation Per Pupil: <u>Formula:</u>
 22 23 24 25 26 27 	The following formula is applied to determine the Level 1, 2, and 3 State Cost Allocation Per Pupil: <u>Formula:</u> Level 1, 2, and 3 State Cost Allocation Per Pupil

1	Level 2 State Cost Allocation Per Pupil
2	Plus
3	Level 3 State Cost Allocation Per Pupil
4	D. Level 4: Supplementary Allocations
5	1. Specific Needs Allocations provide funding for four allocations for
6	specific purposes and is in addition to system level allocations from Levels 1, 2, and
7	3. These allocations are as follows:
8	<u>Formula:</u>
9	Total Level 4 Supplementary Allocations
10	Equals
11	Foreign Language Associate Program Salary and Stipend Allocation
12	Plus
13	Career Development Allocation
14	Plus
15	High Cost Services Assistance Allocation
16	Plus
17	Supplemental Course Allocation
18	STEP ONE: Calculate Foreign Language Associate Salary and Stipend
19	Allocation
20	Salary Allocation - Any city, parish, or other public school system or school
21	employing a Foreign Language Associate or a graduate of the Escadrille Louisiane
22	program shall receive a supplemental allocation from State Board of Elementary and
23	Secondary Education of \$21,000 per teacher. The state shall maintain support of the
24	Foreign Language Associate program at a maximum of 300 Foreign Language
25	Associates employed in any given year.
26	These teachers shall be paid by the employing city, parish, or other local
27	public school system or school at least the state average classroom teacher salary
28	(without PIP) by years of experience and degree beginning with year three. Of the
29	\$21,000 allocation, \$20,000 shall be allocated to the school where the teacher is
30	employed and the funds used to support the total cost of the teacher salary, and the

1	remaining amount shall be associated with costs of VISA sponsorship pursuant to
2	State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education regulations.
3	Stipend Allocation - First year teachers will receive an installation incentive
4	of an additional \$6,000; second and third year teachers will receive a retention
5	incentive of an additional \$4,000. These amounts must be provided to each Foreign
6	Associate Teacher or Escadrille Louisiane graduate by each school district or school
7	in which they are employed.
8	STEP TWO: Career Development Allocation
9	The cost of providing materials and equipment, and teacher credentialing and
10	training to attain a statewide industry-based credential is above and beyond the cost
11	typically required for high school courses. An allocation will be provided to support
12	the development of these technical courses required for statewide credentials in city
13	and parish school systems and other public schools.
14	The first step in the allocation is to calculate six percent (6%) of the MFP
15	State and Local Base Cost Per Pupil to determine the Career Development Per Pupil
16	Amount. The Career Development Per Pupil Amount will be provided for each
17	qualifying student course enrollment in grades 9 through 12.
18	If a city or parish school system receives less than \$25,000 from the Career
19	Development Per Pupil Amount, then the city or parish school system will be
20	provided an economies of scale minimum allocation of \$25,000. If other public
21	schools containing grades 9 through 12 receive less than \$10,000 from the Per Pupil
22	Amount, then the other public schools containing grades 9 through 12 will be
23	provided an economies of scale minimum allocation of \$10,000.
24	<u>Formula:</u>
25	Career Development Allocation Per Pupil
26	Equals
27	MFP State and Local Base Cost Per Pupil
28	Multiplied By
29	Six Percent (6%)
30	<u>Formula:</u>

1	Career Development Allocation
2	Equals
3	Career Development Allocation Per Pupil
4	Multiplied By
5	Number of Students Enrolled in Qualifying Courses in Grades 9 through
6	12
7	STEP THREE: Calculate High Cost Services Assistance
8	High cost services for students with disabilities generate a particular budget
9	challenge for city, parish, and other public school systems and schools.
10	In an effort to assist with these expenses, an allocation will be provided to
11	city, parish, and other public school systems and schools which submit
12	documentation as required by the Louisiana Department of Education substantiating
13	that the prior year cost of services for a specific student exceeds three times the most
14	recent state average total expenditure per pupil amount.
15	Once costs associated with providing services for a student with disabilities
16	have been verified, the city or parish school system or other public schools will be
17	eligible to receive an allocation to assist with these costs. The allocation will be
18	limited by the amount budgeted for the High Cost Services Assistance Allocation.
19	So as to be equitably distributed, the total allocation provided to city and parish
20	school systems versus other public schools shall be proportional to the share of total
21	qualifying applications submitted by city and parish school systems versus other
22	public schools.
23	The first step in the allocation will be to calculate the impact of these costs
24	on the budget of the school system or school using the latest available state and local
25	revenue data. The High Cost Services requested for reimbursement will be reduced
26	by the MFP state and local amount allocated on behalf of each student from Levels
27	1, 2, and 3. The next step will rank the Percent (%) Impact from highest to lowest
28	percent, with two separate rank listings for school systems and other public schools.
29	<u>Formula:</u>
30	Percent (%) Impact on Budget

1	Equals
2	Cost of Services
3	Divided By
4	Total State and Local Revenue
5	The two rank listings will be divided into four tiers and allocations will be
6	provided based on a percentage from one hundred percent reimbursement in the
7	highest funded tier with smaller percentages reimbursed in the lower tiers based on
8	where the school system or school falls within the tiers on one of the two lists.
9	STEP FOUR: Calculate Supplemental Course Allocation
10	Pursuant to R.S. 17:4002.1 through 4002.6, the Supplemental Course
11	Allocation shall provide for the cost of secondary course choices specifically
12	approved by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. For each city
13	and parish school system and other public school, the allocation shall equal the
14	number of students enrolled in grades 7 through 12 as of February 1 each year
15	multiplied by \$26 per pupil.
16	Formula:
17	Supplemental Course Allocation for School Systems or Public Schools
18	Equals
19	Supplemental Course Allocation Per Pupil
20	Multiplied By
21	Number of Students in Grades 7 through 12
22	If the entire allocation is not committed by the city or parish public school
23	systems or other public school by a date set forth by the Louisiana Department of
24	Education, the original allocation will be reduced by the uncommitted amount. The
25	total uncommitted amount from each city or parish public school systems or other
26	public school will be reallocated to those city or parish public school systems or
27	other public schools that obligated one hundred percent of their original allocation
28	based on criteria set forth by the Louisiana Department of Education.
29	2. Allocations for Other Public Schools
30	STEP ONE: Louisiana State University and Southern University Laboratory

1	Schools
2	1. State Cost Allocation
3	a. The February 1 Student Membership count at the Louisiana State
4	University and Southern University Lab Schools shall be multiplied by the
5	Average State Cost Allocation Per Pupil to equal the Louisiana State
6	University and Southern University Lab Schools State Cost Allocation.
7	b. Funds appropriated for these schools shall be allocated to the
8	institution of higher education operating such a school. Each such institution
9	of higher education shall ensure the equitable expenditure of such funds to
10	operate such schools.
11	STEP TWO: Legacy Type 2 Charter Schools
12	A Legacy Type 2 Charter school is a Type 2 Charter school approved before
13	July 1, 2008 by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education.
14	1. State Cost Allocation
15	a. Any Legacy Type 2 Charter school shall annually be
16	allocated funds as determined by applying the formula
17	contained in R. S. 17:3995.
18	b. The State Cost Allocation equals the number of students
19	multiplied by the average State Per Pupil for the system
20	where the school is located.
21	2. Local Cost Allocation
22	a. Any Legacy Type 2 Charter school shall annually be
23	allocated funds as determined by applying the formula
24	contained in R. S. 17:3995.
25	b. The Local Cost Allocation equals the number of students
26	multiplied by the average Local Per Pupil for the system
27	where the school is located.
28	c. For any student enrolled in a Legacy Type 2 Charter school,
29	the Local Cost Allocation shall be funded by the State.
30	3. The exclusion of any portion of local revenues specifically dedicated

1	by the legislature or by voter approval to capital outlay or debt
2	service shall be applicable only to a charter school housed in a
3	facility or facilities provided by the district in which the charter
4	school is located.
5	STEP THREE: Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) Schools
6	1. Eligible Schools - Any elementary and secondary school operated by the
7	Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) in a secure care facility shall be considered a public
8	elementary or secondary school and, as such, the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ)
9	shall be annually appropriated funds for these students.
10	2. Eligible Students - Each student counted in the prior year average daily
11	membership, as defined by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education
12	in the Student Membership Definition, is calculated by dividing the number of days
13	the student is under the guidance and direction of teachers by the total instructional
14	days during the specified school year.
15	3. Allocation - The Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) shall annually be
16	allocated funds for the eligible students. For each student enrolled in these schools,
17	both a State Cost Allocation and a Local Cost Allocation shall be provided.
18	4. State Cost Allocation
19	a. The State Cost Allocation is equal to the State Cost Allocation Per
20	Pupil for the system where each student resided prior to adjudication
21	multiplied by the prior year average daily membership of the Office of
22	Juvenile Justice schools.
23	b. The State Cost Allocation Per Pupil allocation shall be adjusted
24	based on a factor determined by the Louisiana Department of Education to
25	provide for the differential in the number of educational days provided to the
26	students in the custody of the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ).
27	c. Additionally, the State Cost Allocation Per Pupil shall be adjusted
28	based on a factor determined by the Louisiana Department of Education to
29	recognize the increased number of special education students in the Office
30	of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) schools relative to the state average special

1	education student population.
2	d. The average daily membership will be reconciled on an annual
3	basis using the latest available data.
4	5. Local Cost Allocation
5	a. Each student counted in the prior year average daily membership,
6	as defined by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, shall
7	be provided for and funded from the minimum foundation program a Local
8	Cost Allocation Per Pupil equal to the Local Cost Allocation Per Pupil for the
9	district where the student resided prior to adjudication.
10	b. The Local Cost Allocation is equal to the Local Cost Allocation
11	Per Pupil for the system were each student resided prior to adjudication
12	multiplied by the prior year average daily membership of the Office of
13	Juvenile Justice schools.
14	c. For the purpose of the Local Cost Allocation Per Pupil, the
15	average daily membership of the Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) shall be
16	included in the membership counts of the city, parish, or other local public
17	school board in which the student resided prior to adjudication to the Office
18	of Juvenile Justice.
19	d. For a district(s) that shares local revenue, the allocation for the
20	Office of Juvenile Justice will be completed before the calculation of local
21	revenues.
22	e. The Local Cost Allocation Per Pupil shall be funded with a
23	transfer of the MFP monthly amount representing the Local Cost Allocation
24	Per Pupil from the city, parish, or other local public school board in which
25	the attending students resided prior to adjudication to the Office of Juvenile
26	Justice (OJJ).
27	f. The average daily membership will be reconciled on an annual
28	basis using the latest available data.
29	3. Mid-Year Student Allocations
30	1. Student counts in October and February may result in mid-year allocation

1	adjustments for the following:
2	City and parish school systems, Recovery School District, Type 2 Charter
3	schools, Louisiana State University and Southern University Lab schools,
4	Louisiana School for Math, Science and the Arts (LSMSA), and New
5	Orleans Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA).
6	2. If the current year October 1 Mid-Year Student Count is more or less than
7	the prior year February 1 student count, an adjustment to the current year allocation
8	shall be made for each student gained or lost. The October adjustment equals the
9	number of students gained or lost times the annual State Cost per pupil allocation
10	amount for the system or school in which the change occurred. The February
11	adjustment equals the number of students gained or lost times one-half of the State
12	Cost per pupil allocation for the system or school in which the change occurred.
13	3. Individual adjustments shall be made for increases or decreases in the
14	October or February Mid-Year Student Counts for the Recovery School District, the
15	system of prior jurisdiction, and Type 5 Charter schools.
16	4. Mid-Year adjustments for the Recovery School District shall utilize the
17	final State Cost per pupil allocation for the system of prior jurisdiction.
18	5. If the Recovery School District qualifies for an October Mid-Year
19	Adjustment to the State Cost per pupil allocation, a Mid-Year adjustment shall also
20	be made to the Local Cost per pupil allocation for the system of prior jurisdiction.
21	The October Local Cost per pupil allocation shall be recalculated based on updated
22	revenue data for the system of prior jurisdiction. There shall be no recalculation of
23	the Local Cost per pupil allocation in conjunction with the February 1 student count.
24	6. School systems or charter schools in the first year of operation are not
25	eligible for an October Mid-Year Adjustment. However, their allocation will be
26	finalized using October 1 data. The newly opened school systems or charter schools
27	will qualify for the February 1 mid-year adjustment.
28	7. October and February Mid-Year Adjustments shall be combined and
29	applied in the March through June payments.

30

Total MFP State Cost Allocation

1	Formula:
2	Total MFP State Cost Allocation
3	Equals
4	Level 1 State Cost Allocation
5	Plus
6	Level 2 State Cost Allocation
7	Plus
8	Level 3 State Cost Allocation
9	Plus
10	Level 4 State Cost Allocation
11	II. FORMULA CALCULATIONS FOR STATE-APPROVED PUBLIC
12	SCHOOLS
13	A. RECOVERY SCHOOL DISTRICT
14	1. State Cost Allocation
15	a. Once all final calculations are made, the final State Cost
16	Allocation Per Pupil Amount for the city or parish school
17	system which counted the Recovery School District students
18	will be multiplied by the February 1 Recovery School District
19	Student Membership Count to equal the Recovery School
20	District State Cost Allocation.
21	b. In a system with one or more Type 3B charter schools, if the
22	Type 3B charter is not its own LEA, the local school system
23	shall distribute minimum foundation program formula funds
24	to each Type 3B charter school in the system pursuant to
25	calculations determined by the Louisiana Department of
26	Education. If the Type 3B charter is its own LEA, such
27	payments shall be made to the Type 3B charter school by the
28	Louisiana Department of Education. Such calculations shall
29	include differentiated funding weights for certain students,
30	including students identified as being eligible for special

1	education services. The calculations shall ensure equity so
2	that each Type 3B charter school in the system receives a
3	per-pupil amount equal to the amount a Type 5 charter school
4	located in the same parish or school system boundary would
5	have received from the Recovery School District (RSD).
6	2. Local Cost Allocation
7	a. In addition to the State Cost Allocation, the Recovery School District shall
8	receive an applicable Local Cost Allocation.
9	Formula:
10	Local Cost Allocation Per Pupil
11	Equals
12	Projected Local Revenues from District of Prior Jurisdiction
13	Divided by
14	Total School District Membership (Recovery School District Student
15	Membership Count plus Student Membership Count for the system of prior
16	jurisdiction plus New Type 2 Charter school students residing in the
16 17	jurisdiction plus New Type 2 Charter school students residing in the jurisdiction)
17	jurisdiction)
17 18	jurisdiction) b. To begin the fiscal year, the Local Cost allocation is based on eligible
17 18 19	jurisdiction) b. To begin the fiscal year, the Local Cost allocation is based on eligible projected local revenues for the most recent prior fiscal year from the city or parish
17 18 19 20	jurisdiction) b. To begin the fiscal year, the Local Cost allocation is based on eligible projected local revenues for the most recent prior fiscal year from the city or parish school district that had jurisdiction of the school prior to its transfer.
17 18 19 20 21	jurisdiction) b. To begin the fiscal year, the Local Cost allocation is based on eligible projected local revenues for the most recent prior fiscal year from the city or parish school district that had jurisdiction of the school prior to its transfer. c. For purposes of the Recovery School District calculation, local revenue is
17 18 19 20 21 22	 jurisdiction) b. To begin the fiscal year, the Local Cost allocation is based on eligible projected local revenues for the most recent prior fiscal year from the city or parish school district that had jurisdiction of the school prior to its transfer. c. For purposes of the Recovery School District calculation, local revenue is defined to include revenue from the following sources, excluding any portion which
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	jurisdiction) b. To begin the fiscal year, the Local Cost allocation is based on eligible projected local revenues for the most recent prior fiscal year from the city or parish school district that had jurisdiction of the school prior to its transfer. c. For purposes of the Recovery School District calculation, local revenue is defined to include revenue from the following sources, excluding any portion which has been specifically dedicated by the legislature or by voter approval to capital
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	jurisdiction) b. To begin the fiscal year, the Local Cost allocation is based on eligible projected local revenues for the most recent prior fiscal year from the city or parish school district that had jurisdiction of the school prior to its transfer. c. For purposes of the Recovery School District calculation, local revenue is defined to include revenue from the following sources, excluding any portion which has been specifically dedicated by the legislature or by voter approval to capital outlay or debt service, per the definitions in the Annual Financial Report (AFR) and
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	jurisdiction) b. To begin the fiscal year, the Local Cost allocation is based on eligible projected local revenues for the most recent prior fiscal year from the city or parish school district that had jurisdiction of the school prior to its transfer. c. For purposes of the Recovery School District calculation, local revenue is defined to include revenue from the following sources, excluding any portion which has been specifically dedicated by the legislature or by voter approval to capital outlay or debt service, per the definitions in the Annual Financial Report (AFR) and the Louisiana Accounting and Uniform Governmental Handbook as reported to the
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	jurisdiction) b. To begin the fiscal year, the Local Cost allocation is based on eligible projected local revenues for the most recent prior fiscal year from the city or parish school district that had jurisdiction of the school prior to its transfer. c. For purposes of the Recovery School District calculation, local revenue is defined to include revenue from the following sources, excluding any portion which has been specifically dedicated by the legislature or by voter approval to capital outlay or debt service, per the definitions in the Annual Financial Report (AFR) and the Louisiana Accounting and Uniform Governmental Handbook as reported to the Department of Education:
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	jurisdiction) b. To begin the fiscal year, the Local Cost allocation is based on eligible projected local revenues for the most recent prior fiscal year from the city or parish school district that had jurisdiction of the school prior to its transfer. c. For purposes of the Recovery School District calculation, local revenue is defined to include revenue from the following sources, excluding any portion which has been specifically dedicated by the legislature or by voter approval to capital outlay or debt service, per the definitions in the Annual Financial Report (AFR) and the Louisiana Accounting and Uniform Governmental Handbook as reported to the Department of Education: 1. Sales and use taxes, less any tax collection fee paid by the

1	3. Earnings from sixteenth section lands owned by the school
2	system
3	d. The exclusion of any portion of local revenues specifically dedicated by
4	the legislature or by voter approval to capital outlay or debt service shall be
5	applicable only to a charter school housed in a facility or facilities provided by the
6	system in which the charter school is located.
7	e. The projected local revenues shall be divided by the Total School District
8	Membership Count including the Recovery School District Student Membership
9	Count, both operated and charter schools, plus the Student Membership Count of the
10	system of prior jurisdiction. If any New Type 2 Charter School student resides
11	within the physical boundaries of the system of prior jurisdiction, this school the
12	student attends shares in the local revenues of the system of prior jurisdiction. As
13	a result, the New Type 2 Charter School Student Membership Count of the students
14	residing in the jurisdiction will be added to the Recovery School District and the
15	system of prior jurisdiction Student Membership Count.
16	f. The Local Cost Allocation for the Recovery School District is determined
17	by multiplying the local revenue per pupil times the number of Recovery School
18	District students used in the MFP final allocation.
19	<u>Formula:</u>
20	Local Cost Allocation
21	Equals
22	Projected Local Revenues from District of Prior Jurisdiction Per Pupil
23	Multiplied By
24	Recovery School District Student Membership Count
25	g. Once the local amount is determined, it is adjusted to a monthly amount
26	that is transferred from the MFP monthly allocation of the city, parish, or other local
27	public school board of prior jurisdiction to the Recovery School District.
28	h. Based on the October 1 Student Membership Count, the local revenue
29	allocation per student will be recalculated and there will be a corresponding
30	adjustment in the local revenue allocation. No recalculation of the local revenues per

1	student will occur as a result of the February 1 Student Count adjustment.
2	i. During the third quarter of the fiscal year, the local revenue allocation per
3	student shall be adjusted to reflect actual prior year local revenue data.
4	j. A final reconciliation will occur based upon the receipt of the annual
5	audited financial statements of the system of prior jurisdiction. If an increase or
6	decrease in local revenue collections exists, the state superintendent may establish
7	a payment schedule. In the event that the fiscal status of the system of prior
8	jurisdiction or the Recovery School District changes during the fiscal year or on or
9	before the final reconciliation, the state superintendent may adjust the local revenue
10	based on the revenues identified.
11	3. Monies appropriated to the Recovery School District, except for
12	administrative costs, that are attributable to the transfer of a school from a prior
13	school system and monies allocated or transferred from the prior system to the
14	Recovery School District shall be expended solely on the operation of schools
15	transferred from the prior system to the jurisdiction of the Recovery School District.
15	
16	B. NEW TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS
16	B. NEW TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS
16 17	B. NEW TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLSA New Type 2 Charter school is a Type 2 Charter school approved after July
16 17 18	 B. NEW TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS A New Type 2 Charter school is a Type 2 Charter school approved after July 1, 2008 by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education.
16 17 18 19	 B. NEW TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS A New Type 2 Charter school is a Type 2 Charter school approved after July 1, 2008 by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. 1. State Cost Allocation
16 17 18 19 20	 B. NEW TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS A New Type 2 Charter school is a Type 2 Charter school approved after July 1, 2008 by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. 1. State Cost Allocation a. Any New Type 2 Charter School shall annually be provided a State Cost
16 17 18 19 20 21	 B. NEW TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS A New Type 2 Charter school is a Type 2 Charter school approved after July 1, 2008 by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. 1. State Cost Allocation a. Any New Type 2 Charter School shall annually be provided a State Cost Allocation as determined by applying the formula contained in R.S. 17:3995.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	 B. NEW TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS A New Type 2 Charter school is a Type 2 Charter school approved after July 1, 2008 by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. State Cost Allocation Any New Type 2 Charter School shall annually be provided a State Cost Allocation as determined by applying the formula contained in R.S. 17:3995. b. The State Cost Allocation equals the number of students multiplied by the
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 B. NEW TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS A New Type 2 Charter school is a Type 2 Charter school approved after July 1, 2008 by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. State Cost Allocation Any New Type 2 Charter School shall annually be provided a State Cost Allocation as determined by applying the formula contained in R.S. 17:3995. The State Cost Allocation equals the number of students multiplied by the average State Cost Allocation Per Pupil for the system in which the student resides.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 B. NEW TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS A New Type 2 Charter school is a Type 2 Charter school approved after July 1, 2008 by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. 1. State Cost Allocation a. Any New Type 2 Charter School shall annually be provided a State Cost Allocation as determined by applying the formula contained in R.S. 17:3995. b. The State Cost Allocation equals the number of students multiplied by the average State Cost Allocation Per Pupil for the system in which the student resides. c. Mid-Year Adjustments shall adhere to the guidelines established in this
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 B. NEW TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS A New Type 2 Charter school is a Type 2 Charter school approved after July 1, 2008 by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. 1. State Cost Allocation a. Any New Type 2 Charter School shall annually be provided a State Cost Allocation as determined by applying the formula contained in R.S. 17:3995. b. The State Cost Allocation equals the number of students multiplied by the average State Cost Allocation Per Pupil for the system in which the student resides. c. Mid-Year Adjustments shall adhere to the guidelines established in this
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 B. NEW TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS A New Type 2 Charter school is a Type 2 Charter school approved after July 1, 2008 by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. 1. State Cost Allocation a. Any New Type 2 Charter School shall annually be provided a State Cost Allocation as determined by applying the formula contained in R.S. 17:3995. b. The State Cost Allocation equals the number of students multiplied by the average State Cost Allocation Per Pupil for the system in which the student resides. c. Mid-Year Adjustments shall adhere to the guidelines established in this document.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	 B. NEW TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS A New Type 2 Charter school is a Type 2 Charter school approved after July 1, 2008 by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education. 1. State Cost Allocation a. Any New Type 2 Charter School shall annually be provided a State Cost Allocation as determined by applying the formula contained in R.S. 17:3995. b. The State Cost Allocation equals the number of students multiplied by the average State Cost Allocation Per Pupil for the system in which the student resides. c. Mid-Year Adjustments shall adhere to the guidelines established in this document. 2. Local Cost Allocation a. Any New Type 2 Charter school shall annually be provided a Local Cost

1	c. One exception to R. S. 17:3995 is that the Local Cost allocation will be
2	funded with a transfer of the MFP monthly amount representing the Local Cost
3	Allocation from the city or parish school system in which the attending students
4	reside.
5	d. The city or parish where students attending the New Type 2 Charter
6	school reside is the local taxing authority and shall provide the local support for the
7	students.
8	e. Mid-Year Adjustments will adhere to the guidelines established in this
9	document.
10	3. Virtual Charter schools may receive, as approved by the State Board of
11	Elementary and Secondary Education, a lesser percentage of the state and local
12	amount calculated in R.S. 17:3995.
13	4. Where student attendance is from multiple school systems, the Department
14	of Education shall determine the Local Cost Allocation based on students reported
15	by the schools. The student membership count of the New Type 2 charter schools
16	shall be included in the membership count of the city or parish school board in which
17	the student resides to determine the Local Cost Allocation.
18	5. In the first year of operation, a New Type 2 Charter school shall be
19	allocated funding based on an estimated student count since a February 1 student
20	count does not exist. The allocation will be finalized based on the October 1 student
21	count.
22	6. The exclusion of any portion of local revenues specifically dedicated by
23	the legislature or by voter approval to capital outlay or debt service shall be
24	applicable only to a charter school housed in a facility or facilities provided by the
25	district in which the charter school is located.
26	C. LOUISIANA SCHOOL FOR MATH, SCIENCE AND THE
27	ARTS (LSMSA) AND NEW ORLEANS CENTER FOR
28	CREATIVE ARTS (NOCCA).
29	1. The Louisiana School for Math, Science and the Arts (LSMSA) and
30	New Orleans Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA) shall be provided both a State and

1	Local	allocati	on.	
2		2.	State (Cost Allocation
3			a.	The State Cost Allocation shall be based on the State Cost
4				Allocation Per Pupil for the city or parish school system
5				where the attending students reside.
6		3.	Local	Cost Allocation
7			a.	The Local Cost Allocation will be based on the Local Cost
8				Allocation for the city or parish school system where the
9				attending students reside.
10			b.	For any student enrolled in LSMSA or NOCCA, the Local
11				Cost Allocation shall be funded by the State.
12			с.	Where student attendance is from multiple school systems,
13				the Department of Education shall determine the Local Cost
14				Allocation based on students reported by the schools.
15	III.	FORM	MULA	PROCEDURES
16		А.	Prelin	ninary Allocation
16 17		А.	Prelin 1.	ninary Allocation The minimum foundation program formula for the upcoming
		А.		
17		А.		The minimum foundation program formula for the upcoming
17 18		Α.		The minimum foundation program formula for the upcoming fiscal year adopted by the State Board of Elementary and
17 18 19		Α.		The minimum foundation program formula for the upcoming fiscal year adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, along with a preliminary allocation
17 18 19 20		Α.		The minimum foundation program formula for the upcoming fiscal year adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, along with a preliminary allocation schedule representing the estimated cost of the proposed
17 18 19 20 21		Α.		The minimum foundation program formula for the upcoming fiscal year adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, along with a preliminary allocation schedule representing the estimated cost of the proposed formula, shall be submitted to the Joint Legislative
17 18 19 20 21 22		Α.		The minimum foundation program formula for the upcoming fiscal year adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, along with a preliminary allocation schedule representing the estimated cost of the proposed formula, shall be submitted to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget and to the House and Senate
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 		Α.		The minimum foundation program formula for the upcoming fiscal year adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, along with a preliminary allocation schedule representing the estimated cost of the proposed formula, shall be submitted to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget and to the House and Senate Education Committees for consideration no later than March
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 		Α.	1.	The minimum foundation program formula for the upcoming fiscal year adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, along with a preliminary allocation schedule representing the estimated cost of the proposed formula, shall be submitted to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget and to the House and Senate Education Committees for consideration no later than March 15.
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 		А. В.	1.	The minimum foundation program formula for the upcoming fiscal year adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, along with a preliminary allocation schedule representing the estimated cost of the proposed formula, shall be submitted to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget and to the House and Senate Education Committees for consideration no later than March 15. This preliminary allocation shall utilize student and other
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 			1. 2. Final	The minimum foundation program formula for the upcoming fiscal year adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, along with a preliminary allocation schedule representing the estimated cost of the proposed formula, shall be submitted to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget and to the House and Senate Education Committees for consideration no later than March 15. This preliminary allocation shall utilize student and other input data available at the time.
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 			1. 2. Final	The minimum foundation program formula for the upcoming fiscal year adopted by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, along with a preliminary allocation schedule representing the estimated cost of the proposed formula, shall be submitted to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget and to the House and Senate Education Committees for consideration no later than March 15. This preliminary allocation shall utilize student and other input data available at the time. Allocation

1			allocation except that student count estimates will be utilized for
2			school systems or charter schools opening for the first time in the
3			fiscal year beginning July 1.
4	IV.	FORM	MULA PAYMENTS
5		А.	PAYMENT PROCEDURES
6			1. The Total MFP State Cost Allocation for city, parish, and other
7			school systems and schools will be converted to monthly payments
8			from July through June each year except payments will be made on
9			Level 4 allocations as data becomes available.
10		B.	REQUESTED PAYMENT ADJUSTMENTS
11			1. If the city, parish, or other school systems and schools have
12			documented growth in students prior to the actual Mid-Year Student
13			Counts in October and February, a temporary change to the final
14			allocation may be requested. The State Superintendent is authorized
15			to approve or deny this revision.
16			a. Sufficient documentation will be requested to
17			substantiate this requested allocation adjustment.
18			b. The adjusted allocation will remain in effect until the
19			actual October or February Mid-Year Student Count.
20			Once the Mid-Year Student Count is final,
21			reconciliation will be completed and payments
22			adjusted accordingly.
23		C.	PAYMENT ADJUSTMENTS FOR AUDIT FINDINGS
24			1. Review and/or audit of the systems' or schools' data used in
25			determining their Minimum Foundation Program allocation may
26			result in changes in final statistical information. The Minimum
27			Foundation Program allocation adjustments necessary as a result of
28			these audit findings will be made in the following school year. These
29			adjustments are applicable to the following: city or parish school
30			systems, Recovery School District, Type 2 Charter schools,

1Louisiana State University and Southern University Lab schools,2Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) schools, Louisiana School for Math,3Science and the Arts (LSMSA), and New Orleans Center for Creative4Arts (NOCCA).

5

V. 70% EXPENDITURE REQUIREMENT

To provide for appropriate accountability of state funds while providing city, 6 parish, or local public school systems or other public schools flexibility in 7 8 determining specific expenditures, city, parish, or local public school systems or 9 other public schools must ensure that 70% of the city, parish, or local public school 10 system or other public school general fund expenditures are in the areas of 11 instruction and school administration at the school building level as developed by the Department of Education and defined by the State Board of Elementary and 12 13 Secondary Education regulations.

14

VI. PAY RAISE REQUIREMENT

If a city, parish, or local public school system or other public school has a net 15 16 increase from 2013-2014 to 2014-2015 in its per student Level 1 and 2 State Cost Allocation, the city, parish, or local public school system or other public school shall 17 be required to sustain the certificated classroom teacher pay raises provided by 18 19 appropriation in 2013-2014. If the city, parish, or local public school system or other public school has established plans to sustain or increase the teacher pay raises 20 provided for by the 2013-2014 appropriation prior to the development of this 21 formula, then this provision shall not apply. 22

23

VII. SEVERABILITY PROVISION

If any provision of this minimum foundation formula or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications of this minimum foundation formula which can be given effect without the invalid provisions or applications, and to this end the provisions of this minimum foundation formula are hereby declared severable. The severability provision hereof shall be broadly construed so as to give effect to each and every possible provision or application of this minimum foundation formula which is not specifically held

1	invalid, unlawful, or unconstitutional.
2	THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Legislature of Louisiana does hereby
3	approve the formula developed by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education,
4	pursuant to Article VIII, Section 13(B) of the Constitution of Louisiana, to determine the
5	cost of a minimum foundation program of education in all public elementary and secondary
6	schools as well as to equitably allocate the funds to parish and city school systems, and
7	adopted by the board on March 13, 2014, and amended by the board on May 12, 2014.

The original instrument and the following digest, which constitutes no part of the legislative instrument, were prepared by Jeanne C. Johnston.

DIGEST

Appel

SCR No. 55

Provides for legislative approval of the Minimum Foundation Program formula for FY 2014-15 to determine the cost of a minimum foundation program (MFP) of education in all public elementary and secondary schools as well as to equitably allocate the funds to local public school systems as developed by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE), adopted by the board on March 13, 2014, and amended by the board on May 12, 2014.

The MFP formula currently in effect is the formula adopted by the board and approved by the legislature for the 2011-2012 Fiscal Year.

Proposed formula has a cost of \$3.595 Billion.

<u>Proposed formula</u> expands the traditionally used term "city, parish, or other local public school systems" to "city, parish, or other local public school systems or schools".

<u>Present formula</u> utilizes three levels to determine MFP funding allocations for city and parish school systems, as follows:

- Level 1 Cost Determination and Equitable Distribution of State and Local Funds
- Level 2 Incentive for Local Effort
- Level 3 Legislative Enhancements

<u>Proposed formula</u> utilizes four levels to determine MFP funding allocations for city, parish, or other local public school systems or schools.

- Level 1 Calculation State and Local Cost Allocation.
- Level 2 Incentive for Local Effort
- Level 3 Legislative Allocations
- Level 4 Supplementary Allocations

<u>Level 1</u> - Calculate State and Local Cost Allocation - Compared to <u>present formula</u>, <u>proposed formula</u>:

- (1) Maintains use of Feb. 1 membership as defined by BESE and provides that each student is counted as 1.0 in the formula.
- (2) Provides that students counted are enrolled in the following school districts and

schools:

- Parish and city school systems
- Recovery School District Schools, both operated and Type 5 Charter schools
- Type 2 Charter schools
- LSU and Southern Lab Schools
- Office of Juvenile Justice (OJJ) schools
- (3) Maintains the existing weight of 22% for add-on student units for at-risk and English language learner students and re-designates this the "Low Income and English Language Learner Weight". Deletes language prohibiting double counting of these students.
- (4) Maintains the existing weight of 150% for Special Education Students with disabilities.
- (5) Maintains the 60% existing weight for Gifted and Talented Students.
- (6) Maintains the existing weight of 6% for Career and Technical Education course units.
- (7) Maintains the Economy of Scale curvilinear weight of 20% for school systems with a student membership of less than 7,500.

BASE PER PUPIL AMOUNT

Present formula provides a base per pupil funding amount of \$3,855.

<u>Proposed formula provides for a base per pupil funding amount of \$3,961, which represents a 2.75% increase.</u>

<u>Present formula</u> provides that if no annual increase is provided in the formula and the formula previously adopted remains in effect, BESE must annually adjust the state and local per pupil amount, with approval from the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget (JLCB). Further provides that if the JLCB does not approve the rate established by BESE, then an annual growth adjustment of 2.75% shall be automatically applied to the state and local base per pupil amount.

<u>Proposed formula</u> deletes these provisions.

Compared to <u>present formula</u>, Level 1 of <u>proposed formula</u> determines the proportion of the costs supported by the state and local school systems as follows:

- (1) Retains provisions that the calculation of the local school system share of the total MFP cost includes a property tax revenue contribution, a sales tax revenue contribution, and an other revenue contribution:
- (2) Retains provisions specifying that in no event shall the state share of the total Level 1 costs be less than 25% for any district.

<u>Level 2</u> - Incentive for Local Effort - Compared to <u>present formula</u>, <u>proposed formula</u> provides as follows:

- (1) Retains <u>present formula</u> provisions to provide rewards to school districts that contribute a greater proportion of local revenue towards the cost of education by increasing local property and sales tax revenues.
- (2) Limit on Revenue Eligible for Reward is equal to the total state and local cost

multiplied by 34%.

(3) Continues provision that the Level 2 Reward Factor determines the amount of local support required in Level 2. Establishes the Level 1 Reward Factor at 1.72%.

Level 3 - Legislative Allocations

<u>Present formula</u> designates Level 3 as "Legislative Enhancements" and includes continuing pay raises for certificated and non-certificated support workers, funding for foreign language associate teachers, hold harmless funding for certain school districts, and funding for increasing mandated costs in health insurance, retirement, and fuel.

<u>Proposed formula</u> redesignates Level 3 as "Legislative Allocations". <u>Proposed formula</u> moves funding for foreign language associate teachers to the new Level 4 created in the formula, but retains all other Level 3 components as provided in <u>present formula</u>.

Continuation of Funding for Pay Raises:

- (1) Continues supplemental pay raises for certificated personnel initiated in 2001-02, 2006-07, 2007-08, and 2008-09 as a per pupil amount.
- (2) Continues supplemental pay raises for non-certificated support workers initiated in 2002-03, 2006-07, and 2007-08 as a per pupil amount.

Hold Harmless Enhancement

- (1) Continues the 10-year phase-out of hold harmless funding for Concordia, East Baton Rouge, Evangeline, Iberville, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, St. James, and West Feliciana parishes, beginning with a 10% reduction of the revised "over funded" amount in FY 07-08.
- (2) Retains provision that a Hold Harmless district may choose to reduce the remaining balance by an amount greater than 10%, provided such request is made by June 30th each year.
- (3) <u>Retains provision</u> that the annual 10% reduction amount will be redistributed to all non-hold harmless districts on a per pupil basis.

Support for Increasing Mandated Costs in Health Insurance, Retirement, and Fuel

<u>Proposed formula</u> continues <u>present formula</u> provision that local school systems shall receive a per pupil funding amount of \$100 to support increasing mandated costs involving health insurance, retirement, and fuel.

Level 4 - Supplementary Allocations

Proposed formula creates a new Level 4 as follows:

Foreign Language Associate Enhancement

(<u>Present formula</u> includes funding for foreign language associate teachers in Level 3.)

Compared to <u>present formula</u>, <u>proposed formula</u> provides as follows with regard to foreign language associate teachers:

• Increases the supplemental allocation from BESE to local school systems that employ a Foreign Language Associate or a graduate of the Escadrille Louisiane program from \$20,000 to \$21,000 per teacher. Retains provision that the number of these teachers not to exceed a total of 300 teachers. Specifies that the additional

\$1,000 is to be used toward the costs of VISA sponsorship.

- Retains requirement that these teachers be paid by the employing school board at least the state average classroom teacher salary (without PIP) by years of experience and degree beginning with year three. <u>Proposed formula</u> additionally provides that the supplemental allocation must be allocated to the school where the teacher is employed and the funds used to support the total cost of the teacher's salary.
- Retains requirement that first year teachers be paid an installment incentive of an additional \$6,000 and that second and third year teachers shall receive a retention incentive of an additional \$4,000. Specifies that these amounts will be provided by the employing school district.

<u>Proposed formula</u> provides for three new allocations in Level 4 as follows:

- (1) Career Development Allocation Supports technical courses required for statewide credentials. Allocation is to be equal to 6% of the \$3,961 base per pupil amount or \$238 per qualifying course enrollment. Each school system is to receive a minimum amount of \$25,000 and other state-approved public schools with students enrolled in grades 9 12 will receive a minimum of \$10,000 per school. Projected cost is \$4 million.
- (2) **High Cost Services Assistance** Provides additional funds for students with disabilities. Provides that the total allocation for public school systems versus other public schools shall be proportional to the share of total qualifying applications submitted by public school systems versus other public schools. Projected cost is \$4 million.
- (3) Supplemental Course Allocation Pursuant to present law relative to the Course Choice Program, provides for the cost of secondary course choices specifically approved by BESE. The allocation for each public school system and other public school is \$26 per student enrolled in grades 7 - 12. Projected cost to MFP is \$7.6 million.

<u>70% Local General Fund Required Instructional Expenditure at the School Building Level</u>

<u>Proposed formula</u> retains the requirement that 70% of general fund expenditures must be in the areas of instruction and school administration at the school building level.

Pay Raise Requirement

<u>Present formula</u> requires that 50% of increased funds over the prior year shall be used to supplement full-time certificated salaries and retirement benefits teachers in schools and school systems with an average teacher salary below the SREB average teacher salary.

<u>Proposed formula</u> deletes this requirement and provides instead that if a city, parish, or local public school system or other public school has a net increase from 2013-2014 to 2014-2015 in its per student Level 1 and 2 State Cost Allocation, the city, parish, or local public school system or other public school shall be required to sustain the certificated classroom teacher pay raises provided by appropriation in 2013-2014. Further provides that if the city, parish, or local public school system or other public school has established plans to sustain or increase the teacher pay raises provided for by the 2013-2014 appropriation prior to the development of proposed formula, then this provision shall not apply.

Deleted Provisions:

Accountability Provisions

(1) Deletes requirement that the Department of Education annually prepare and submit

a MFP Accountability Report to the House and Senate education committees.

(2) Deletes requirement that school systems demonstrate that the funds generated through the various weight factors be expended for the specified purpose.

Summary of Amendments Adopted by Senate

<u>Committee Amendments Proposed by Senate Committee on Education to the</u> <u>original bill</u>

1. Replaces the formula adopted by BESE on March 13, 2014, with the amended formula adopted by BESE on May 12, 2014, and submitted to the legislature for approval on May 14, 2014.