

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **SB** 478 SLS 14RS

Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action: w/ HSE FLOOR AMD

Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For.:

Date: May 21, 2014

3:58 PM

Author: CLAITOR

Dept./Agy.: Courts

Subject: Warrant Recall Fee

Analyst: Richard Aultman

478

Page 1 of 2

COURTS EGF INCREASE LF RV See Note
Requires the levy of a warrant recall fee to fund a misdemeanor jail in East Baton Rouge Parish

Purpose of Bill: This measure would specifically require the following courts in East Baton Rouge Parish to levy and impose a warrant recall fee for all persons who fail to appear for all misdemeanor and traffic offenses, with a maximum of four warrant recall fees per person: 19th Judicial District Court (\$50), East Baton Rouge Parish Family Court (\$50), Baton Rouge City Court (\$25), Baker City Court (\$50), and Zachary City Court (\$50). The measure also provides that the proceeds shall be used to pay the expenses of operating a misdemeanor detention facility. It further requires each court's clerk to place all funds collected into a separate account designated as the Misdemeanor Detention Fund with East Baton Rouge Parish.

As required by state law, these additional court costs were reviewed by the Judicial Council, which provided its recommendation for approval to the legislature.

XPENDITURES 2014-15		<u> 2015-16</u>	2016-17	2017-18	<u> 2018-19</u>	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2014-15	<u> 2015-16</u>	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	
1						

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There may be an increase in local government expenditures of approximately \$2,200,000 annually as a result of this measure.

According to an official with the **East Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff's Office**, there should be no direct impact on the sheriff's office. He stated that in the past they have entered into a Cooperative Endeavor Agreement with the Clerk of Court's Office to collect fees and fines on behalf of the Clerk of Court's Office. There may be some expenses as a result of this agreement, but these cannot be determined until this agreement is in place.

According to an official with the **Baton Rouge City Constable's Office**, the facility could be operated at an estimated annual cost of \$2.2 million.

According to officials with the **East Baton Rouge Family Court**, collections of bench warrant recall fees may require additional personnel, which may increase expenditures.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There may be an increase in local government revenues of approximately \$2,300,000 in fiscal year 2015, which may decrease in subsequent years, as a result of this measure.

According to an official with the **East Baton Rouge District Attorney's Office**, using the number of warrants recalled during a two week trial of a misdemeanor jail in fiscal year 2012, there may be up to \$2,300,000 in annual revenues from warrant recall fees. This estimated increase in warrant recall fee revenues reflects a reduction based on the fact that some warrants are recalled currently, without the availability of a misdemeanor detention facility to aid in processing these warrants. The official also stated that these revenues may diminish in future years as defendants have proven compliant with court dates once the jail is open and operating, resulting in a reduction of outstanding bench warrants.

According to an official with the **Baton Rouge City Court**, the court currently collects bench warrant recall fees; it collected fees of \$1,126,600 in calendar year 2012 and \$1,063,800 in calendar year 2013.

Revenue Explanation continued on page 2.

<u>Senate</u>			$6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}$	Salar and	
x 13.5.1 >=	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S8	&H}	\bigcirc 6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 State Rev. Reduc. {H & S}		
_	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee		6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Joy Irwin	
	Change {S&H}		or a Net Fee Decrease (S)	Director of Advisory Services	



OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: SB **478** SLS 14RS

Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action: w/ HSE FLOOR AMD

Proposed Amd.: Sub. Bill For.:

Date: May 21, 2014

3:58 PM

Author: CLAITOR

Analyst: Richard Aultman

Dept./Agy.: Courts

Subject: Warrant Recall Fee

Page 2 of 2

478

CONTINUED EXPLANATION from page one: Revenue Explanation Continued (from page 1)

According to an official with the **19th Judicial District Court**, this measure may increase annual revenues by \$97,500 based on the annual number of bench warrants recalled in calendar year 2013.

According to officials with the East Baton Rouge Family Court, this measure may increase annual revenues by approximately \$10,000 annually.

<u>Senate</u>

Dual Referral Rules

<u>House</u>

 $6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}$

x 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}

6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 State Rev. Reduc. {H & S}

6.8(G) > = \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increaseor a Net Fee Decrease {S}

Director of Advisory Services

13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or FeeChange {S&H}

Joy Irwin