	LEGISL	ATIVE FISC							
			Fiscal Note On:	HB	1258 HLS	14RS	1960		
Legillative		Bill Text Version: REENGROSSED							
FiscaleOffice		Opp. Chamb. Action: W/ SEN FLOOR AMD							
		Proposed Amd.:							
PISTIR Notes		Sub. Bill For.:							
<b>Date:</b> May 21, 2014	8:25 AM		Au	thor: <sup>·</sup>	THIBAUT				
Dept./Agy.: Public Safety Subject: Motor Vehicle I	nspections		Analyst: Matthew LaBruyere						
MOTOR VEHICLES		REF NO IMPACT	See Note		F	Page 1	of 1		

Provides relative to motor vehicle inspection checkpoints

Present law requires that all law enforcement agencies involved in traffic enforcement establish guidelines for the operation of seat belt checkpoints and proof of compulsory motor vehicle liability security checkpoints. Proposed law adds motor vehicle inspection checkpoints to present law.

Present law provides that checkpoint guidelines include location, time, and duration, and they shall be established in written form by supervisory or administrative personnel rather than field officers. Proposed law provides that the location of a motor vehicle inspection checkpoint cannot be less than 500 feet from a bridge, overpass, or the intersection between two state highways or between a state and federal highway.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2014-15</u>	<u>2015-16</u>	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION** 

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

## **REVENUE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.



Dual Referral Rules

<u>House</u> 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}

6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S} 6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 State Rev. Reduc. {H & S}

13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}

6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

Evan Brasseaux

**Evan Brasseaux** Staff Director