

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICEFiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **SB** 110 SLS 14RS 566

Bill Text Version: **ENROLLED**

Opp. Chamb. Action:
Proposed Amd.:
Sub. Bill For.:

Date: May 30, 2014 11:10 AM

Dept./Agy.: Revenue

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Subject: Allows ATC access to LDR tax records

Author: RISER

Analyst: Deborah Vivien

Page 1 of 1

Provides an exception to the Department of Revenue's duty to keep certain tax records confidential. (8/1/14)

<u>Current law</u> specifically lists circumstances under which the Department of Revenue may share taxpayer information and the penalties involved for violation of the confidentiality agreement.

EN NO IMPACT SG RV See Note

<u>Proposed law</u> retains current law and allows the Department of Revenue, Attorney General and the Office of Alcohol and Tobacco Control to share confidential taxpayer information with professional services firms in order to carry out administrative functions related to the Master Settlement Agreement. The bill also authorizes the Department of Revenue to share confidential taxpayer information with the Office of Alcohol and Tobacco Control to carry out its administrative function in the regulation of alcohol and tobacco industries.

EXPENDITURES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

Change {S&H}

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	<u>House</u>		Stegoz V. allect
13.5.1 >=	= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {	(S&H)	$6.8(F)(2) >= $500,000 \text{ State Rev. Reduc. } \{H \& S\}$	
 	s \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee			Gregory V. Albrecht
	Change (COLL)		0.0(d) > = \$500,000 Tax of the increase	Chief Economist

or a Net Fee Decrease {S}