OFFICE C	F LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR Fiscal Note							
	Fiscal Note On: HB 107 HLS 15RS 366							
	Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL							
Auguors	Opp. Chamb. Action:							
	Proposed Amd.:							
F18C20 0125	Sub. Bill For.:							
Date: March 25, 2015 12:14 PM	Author: SHADOIN							
Dept./Agy.: Clerks of Court Avoyelles and Out	achita Parishes							
Subject: Pay certain group insurance prem	in group insurance premium costs Analyst: Steve Stevens							

CLERKS OF COURT

OR SEE FISC NOTE LF

Page 1 of 1

Provides relative to group insurance expenses of the clerks of court in the parishes of Ouachita and Avoyelles

Purpose of Bill: This measure authorizes the clerk of court for Avoyelles Parish and Ouachita Parish to pay group insurance premiums for certain retired employees of the clerk of court.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2015-16</u>	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	SEE BELOW					
Annual Total						
REVENUES	<u>2015-16</u>	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

According to an official with the clerk of court for Avoyelles Parish and with the clerk of court for Ouachita Parish, the clerks' offices are already paying 100% of group insurance premiums for current employees. Therefore, this practice would continue with their retirement resulting in no fiscal impact. In addition, the parishes already pay 100% of group insurance premiums for their retirees. Therefore, this bill puts in to law what is already being practiced and there would be no related fiscal impact as a result of the bill.

<u>REVENUE EXPLANATION</u> There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	<u>House</u>	6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	11	
13.5.1 >=	= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S	&H}	$6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 \text{ SGF Fiscal Cost } \{H \& S\}$ $6.8(F)(2) >= $500,000 \text{ Rev. Red. to State } \{H \& S\}$	10.0	. Battle
13.5.2 >=	= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}		6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Michael G. B	attle visory Services