OFFICE OF L	EGISLATIVE AUDITOR Fiscal Note								
	Fiscal Note On: HB 33 HLS 15RS 28								
A	Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL								
Opp. Chamb. Action:									
	Proposed Amd.:								
F18020 025	Sub. Bill For.:								
Date: April 6, 2015 2:38 PM	Author: BERTHELOT								
Dept./Agy.: Law Enforcement Agencies									
Subject: Increases fines for littering & changes	fine distribution Analyst: David Greer								
ENVIRONMENT/LITTERING	OR SEE FISC NOTE LF RV Page 1 of 1								

Provides with respect to fines for the offense of littering

2020/00/00/00/02/02/02/02/02

Purpose of Bill: The bill doubles the amounts of fines for intentional littering, simple littering, gross littering, and commercial littering. The bill modifies the proportions of the distribution to the current payees and distributes the increase in fines to the retirement system of the law enforcement agency issuing the litter citation.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2015-16</u>	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	SEE BELOW					
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	SEE BELOW					
Annual Total						
REVENUES	<u>2015-16</u>	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	
Annual Total						

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

This bill provides for the distribution of fines collected. This measure provides for the distribution of fines collected, therefore, there is no expenditure increase.

The new requirement for collections to be paid to the retirement system of the law enforcement agency issuing the citation may have an actuarial impact to one of several retirement systems in which these law enforcement agencies participate. The legislative actuary will address the actuarial impact in a separate actuarial note. The law enforcement agencies include Louisiana State Police, Louisiana Department of Wildlife & Fisheries, all sheriffs, and municipalities.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

This bill will increase local and self generated revenues by an indeterminable amount annually. Because of the many entities (sheriffs, municipalities, Louisiana State Police, and the Department of Wildlife & Fisheries) involved and the lack of violation/conviction data, we were unable to determine the fiscal impact for all entities (law enforcement agencies). However, we were able to provide some estimations based on limited data for past littering citations. According to Louisiana State Police, they issued 295 littering citations in calendar year 2014. If the same number of citations are issued in 2015 -2016 fiscal year and all 295 citations are simple first offense littering, revenues could increase by \$22,125 annually (295 citations x \$75 increase). According to the Department of Wildlife and Fisheries, they issued 341 simple littering citations and 224 gross littering citations in calendar year 2014. If the same number of citations are issued in 2015-2016 fiscal year, if all citations receive convictions, and if the maximum amount is fined, revenues could increase by \$137,575 (Simple: 341 citations x \$75 increase = \$25,575 and Gross: 224 citations x \$50 minimum increase = \$112,000). According to the Louisiana Municipal Association (LMA), littering fines data is not captured for all municipalities. However, according to LMA, the following littering violations data was gathered for a few municipalities for 2013: Hammond - 13, Walker - 6, Berwick - 16, Springfield - 1, Greenwood - 3. If these were all simple littering violations, revenue would increase by \$2,925. (39 violations x \$75 increase). According to the District Attorneys Association and the Sheriffs Association, they do not have data on littering fines convictions.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	<u>House</u>	6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	//		
13.5.1 >= \$	5100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S8	λH}	$ [6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 \text{ SGF Fiscal Cost } \{H \& S\} $ $ [6.8(F)(2) >= $500,000 \text{ Rev. Red. to State } \{H \& S\} $	101.	G.	Battle
13.5.2 >= 9	500,000 Annual Tax or Fee		6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Michael G	. Battl	e
Change {S&H}		or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	Manager, Advisory Services			