

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE **Fiscal Note**

315 HLS 15RS 1072 Fiscal Note On: HB

Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.:

Date: April 20, 2015 4:12 PM

Dept./Agy.: Financial Institutions **Subject:** Check-cashers

Author: PONTI

Sub. Bill For .:

Analyst: Travis McIlwain

Page 1 of 1

EG +\$138,200 SG RV See Note FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS Provides relative to licensing fees for check-cashers

Proposed bill increases the nonrefundable license application fee for check-cashers from \$350 to \$450. Proposed bill increases the annual license renewal fee for check-cashers from \$250 to \$350.

EXPENDITURES	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	<u>2018-19</u>	2019-20	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	eral Funds \$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds \$0 Annual Total \$0 REVENUES 2015-16 201		<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u> \$0 <u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	. Self-Gen. \$138,200 \$141,300		\$144,400	\$147,400	\$150,300	\$721,600
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	al Funds \$0 \$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds \$0		<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$138,200	\$141,300	\$144,400	\$147,400	\$150,300	\$721,600

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

The proposed bill is anticipated to result in a projected revenue increase of approximately \$140,000 in FY 16 increasing in subsequent fiscal years up to approximately \$150,000 in FY 20. This legislation impacts check-cashers and increases the a license application fee by \$100 (from \$350 to \$450) and increases the annual license renewal fee by \$100 (from \$250 to \$350). The revenue projection is based upon the average number of new applications per year of (59), the number of licensees renewing (1,323) and a typical 98% license renewal rate of license holders. See calculations below.

FY 16: $(59 + 1,323) \times $100 = $138,200$

FY 17: $(59 + 1,323) \times 98\% = 1,354$; $(1,354 + 59) \times $100 = $141,300$

FY 18: $(59 + 1,354) \times 98\% = 1,385$; $(1,385 + 59) \times $100 = $144,400$

FY 19: $(59 + 1,385) \times 98\% = 1,415$; $(1,415 + 59) \times $100 = $147,400$ FY 20: $(59 + 1,415) \times 98\% = 1,444$; $(1,444 + 59) \times $100 = $150,300$

<u>Se</u>	<u>nate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>House</u>	$6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}$	Alegoy V. allect	
13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}			&H}	$6.8(F)(2) >= $500,000 \text{ Rev. Red. to State } \{H \& S\}$		
=		,	-	= 0.0(1)(2) >= \$500,000 Rev. Red. to State {11 & 5}	Crosswy V. Albrocht	

13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}

6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increaseor a Net Fee Decrease {S}

Gregory V. Albrecht **Chief Economist**