

EXPENDITURES

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB 555** HLS 15RS

Bill Text Version: REENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action:

2018-19

Proposed Amd.: Sub. Bill For.:

Date: May 19, 2015 7:03 PM

2015-16

Dept./Agy.: Revenue

Subject: Sales Tax on Remote Sellers

Author: FANNIN

Analyst: Deborah Vivien

2019-20

TAX/SALES-USE, STATE RE SEE FISC NOTE GF RV See Note

2016-17

Page 1 of 1

5-YEAR TOTAL

827

Provides relative to the collection of state sales and use taxes due on sales made in Louisiana by a remote dealer

<u>Current law</u>: For purposes of sales tax collection, a dealer is defined in detail in R.S. 47:301(4), sections (a)-(I). The U.S. Supreme Court has found that a business with a physical presence in the state has established a nexus and is responsible for the collection and remittance of state and local sales tax.

<u>Proposed law</u> expands the definition of dealer by establishing nexus through an affiliate arrangement used to solicit business through an independent contractor or any other representative, including internet sales. Any company with an affiliated agent who sells the same or similar products under the same or similar name greater than \$50,000 per year in the state would be considered dealers for state and local sales tax purposes. These companies would then be obligated to collect and remit sales tax on behalf of the state and local governments, including internet transactions mailed to the state. The bill also contains ownership criteria and allows quarterly local use tax distribution. The bill directs local use tax distributions to be paid from the current collections of the tax. Effective with tax periods on or after 7/1/15.

2017-18

State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	SEE BELOW					
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	SEE BELOW					
Agy. Self-Gen.	# 0	+0	40	40	40	40
37.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Ded./Other Federal Funds						•
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Annual Total

The consumer use tax distributed to the parishes is currently appropriated as SGR fee revenue through the Department of Revenue budget, even though collections are deposited to the SGF. The bill appears to require that the distribution to local bodies (about \$1.3M) is to be paid from the current collections of the tax without appropriation (SGF revenue), rather than as an appropriation from the Department's SGR fee revenue, as has been current practice for several years; consequently, increasing the availability of SGR fee revenue to support the Department's operations.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

This bill does not change overall legal tax liabilities but attempts to change collections by expanding the definition of a dealer to remote sellers (internet sales) obligating them to collect state and local (4%) sales tax on each transaction mailed to the state. In cases where sales tax is not collected by the seller during the sales transaction, the consumer is still obligated to pay the use tax by filing with the Department of Revenue.

This bill specifically names as dealers those internet sellers that have affiliates in the state linked to the independent contractor's site for a commission on sales. Smaller sellers with sales in the state less than \$50,000 items are excluded, per determination of the Secretary of Revenue. This bill directs larger internet sellers to collect and remit state and local sales tax since those in-state affiliates establish a physical presence or nexus. Currently, many large online retailers are collecting and remitting sales tax in the absence of legislation such as this, but a few large ones are not, including Amazon.com and Overstock.com. Vermont, Rhode Island, Maine, Missouri, Colorado and Arkansas have passed legislation similar to this bill, and Amazon and other large online retailers severed their affiliate ties in those states to avoid collecting taxes. While states with warehouses, research/administrative offices, or partial ownership of local businesses by Amazon have been successful in collecting sales tax, those conditions do not appear to be present in LA, and it is the expectation that affiliate relationships will also be severed in LA. Most states have not been able to report collections data associated with these sales, and the few that have are significantly larger than Louisiana in both population and median household income. Louisiana is unlikely to be able to exert a comparable degree of market leverage on these firms to compel compliance, unless the conditions mentioned above exist.

While the magnitude of potential effect is speculative, and without federal legislation or significant voluntary compliance is unlikely to occur, based on the collections experience in Georgia and adjusted for tax rate and population size, potential collections for state government in LA could be as high as \$17 million with a like amount for local governments, should Amazon and other remote sellers voluntarily comply. Annual collections of consumer use tax in FY 14 were \$1.7M for individuals and \$382M for corporations.

The bill appears to require that the distribution to local bodies (about \$1.3M) is to be paid from the current collections of the tax without appropriation (SGF revenue), rather than as an appropriation from the Department's SGR fee revenue, as has been current practice for several years.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	<u>House</u>		Segon V. allect
13.5.1	>= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost	t {S&H}	$6.8(F)(2) >= $500,000 \text{ Rev. Red. to State } \{H \& S\}$	
_				Gregory V. Albrecht
13.5.2	>= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee	9	\square 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Chief Economist
	Change {S&H}		or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	