HOUSE COMMITTEE AMENDMENTS

2015 Regular Session

Amendments proposed by House Committee on Municipal, Parochial and Cultural Affairs to Reengrossed Senate Bill No. 29 by Senator Cortez

1 AMENDMENT NO. 1

2 On page 4, line 8, after "service." insert "If two or more employees share identical

3 promotional seniority in a class, then those employees shall be demoted in order of 4 their departmental seniority with the demotion of the employee with the least

5 departmental seniority being demoted first amongst those with identical promotional

- 6 <u>seniority.</u>"
- 7 AMENDMENT NO. 2

8 On page 5, at the end of line 25, after "<u>class.</u>" insert "<u>If two or more employees share</u>

9 <u>identical promotional seniority in a class, then those employees shall be listed in order</u>

- 10 of their departmental seniority, from highest to lowest, amongst those with identical
- 11 promotional seniority."
- 12 AMENDMENT NO. 3

13 On page 6, at the end of line 7, after "established." insert "If two or more employees share

14 identical promotional seniority in a class, then those employees shall be listed in order

15 of their departmental seniority, from highest to lowest, amongst those with identical

- 16 promotional seniority."
- 17 <u>AMENDMENT NO. 4</u>
- 18 On page 8, between lines 10 and 11, insert:

19"(d) If two or more employees share identical promotional20seniority in a class, then those employees shall be reinstated, or selected21and appointed for promotion, in order of their departmental seniority22with the reinstatement or promotion being offered to the employee with23the greatest departmental seniority amongst those with identical24promotional seniority."

25 <u>AMENDMENT NO. 5</u>

On page 8, at the end of line 28, after "lowest." insert "If two or more employees share
identical promotional seniority in a class, then priority to positions amongst those
employees shall be in order of their total departmental seniority in the order of highest
to lowest amongst those with identical promotional seniority."

29 to lowest amongst those with identical promotional seniority."