#### CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT

## HB 445 2015 Regular Session Mack

June 11, 2015

To the Honorable Speaker and Members of the House of Representatives and the Honorable President and Members of the Senate.

#### Ladies and Gentlemen:

We, the conferees appointed to confer over the disagreement between the two houses concerning House Bill No. 445 by Representative Mack, recommend the following concerning the Reengrossed bill:

- 1. That Senate Committee Amendments Nos. 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7 proposed by the Senate Committee on Transportation, Highways and Public Works on May 25, 2015, and adopted by the Senate on May 26, 2015, be adopted.
- 2. That Senate Committee Amendment No. 3 proposed by the Senate Committee on Transportation, Highways and Public Works on May 25, 2015, and adopted by the Senate on May 26, 2015, be rejected.
- 3. That Senate Committee Amendment No. 1 proposed by the Senate Committee on Revenue and Fiscal Affairs on June 5, 2015, and adopted by the Senate on June 5, 2015, be adopted.
- 4. That Senate Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Committee on Transportation, Highways and Public Works Amendment No. 3 proposed by Senator Erdey and adopted by the Senate on June 6, 2015, be rejected.
- 5. That Senate Floor Amendment No. 1 to the reengrossed bill proposed by Senator Erdey and adopted by the Senate on June 6, 2015, be adopted.
- 6. That Senate Floor Amendments Nos. 1 through 3 proposed by Senator Martiny and adopted by the Senate on June 6, 2015, be adopted.
- 7. That Senate Floor Amendment No. 1 deleting Senate Committee on Transportation, Highways and Public Works Amendments Nos. 3 and 7 proposed by Senator Martiny and adopted by the Senate on June 6, 2015, be rejected.

Respectfully submitted,	
Representative Sherman Mack	Senator Robert Adley
Representative Karen Gaudet St. Germain	Senator Daniel "Danny" Martiny
Representative Chris Broadwater	Senator Neil Riser

#### **DIGEST**

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

#### CONFERENCE COMMITTEE REPORT DIGEST

**HB 445** 

#### 2015 Regular Session

Mack

### **Keyword and oneliner of the instrument as it left the House**

MOTOR VEHICLES: Provides relative to entities authorized to provide services related to motor vehicles

## **Report adopts Senate amendments to:**

- 1. Clarify that public license tag agents, auto title companies, and recreational product dealers can collect registration license taxes.
- 2. Add a provision to increase the fees charged by the Dept. of Public Safety and Corrections, the commissioner of the office of motor vehicles, for each certificate of title from \$18.50 to \$68.50 and for each salvage title, from \$18.50 to \$68.50.
- 3. Add a provision that makes the proposed law effective July 1, 2015.
- 4. Make technical changes.

# Report rejects Senate amendments which would have:

- 1. Deleted amendments to increase the fees which are charged by the Dept. of Public Safety and Corrections, the commissioner of the office of motor vehicles, for each certificate of title <u>from</u> \$18.50 <u>to</u> \$68.50, for each permit to sell or duplicate permit <u>to</u> sell <u>from</u> \$15 to \$75, and for each salvage title, <u>from</u> \$18.50 <u>to</u> \$68.50.
- 2. Deleted an amendment that would have removed the <u>proposed law</u> effective date of July 1, 2015.

### Digest of the bill as proposed by the Conference Committee

<u>Proposed law</u> increases the fees charged by the Department of Public Safety and Corrections, the commissioner of OMV, for each certificate of title  $\underline{\text{from}}$  \$18.50  $\underline{\text{to}}$  \$68.50 and for each salvage title,  $\underline{\text{from}}$  \$18.50  $\underline{\text{to}}$  \$68.50.

<u>Present law</u> authorizes the commissioner to establish a system of public license tag agents to collect the registration license taxes. Specifies that the system is to consist of municipal and parish governing authorities or new motor vehicle dealers or their agents as well as authorized auto title companies.

<u>Proposed law prohibits persons</u>, natural or juridical, from collecting registration license taxes <u>except public</u> license tag agents, auto title companies, financial institutions, licensed new or used car dealers, recreational product dealers, state departments, offices or entities, and those included in the system established pursuant to <u>present law</u>.

<u>Present law</u> requires each public license tag agent other than a municipal governing authority to execute a good and sufficient surety bond with a surety company qualified to do business in La. as surety, in a sum of not less than \$10,000 nor more than \$100,000. Specifies that

a public license tag agent with multiple locations only has to furnish a single \$10,000 surety bond in addition to any other bonds required by law.

<u>Proposed law removes present law surety requirements and instead requires each public license tag agent other than a municipal governing authority to execute a good and sufficient surety bond with a surety company qualified to do business in La. as surety, in a sum of \$100,000 should the public tag agent have only one office in this state and in a sum of \$125,000 should the public tag agent have more than one office in this state.</u>

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that the office of motor vehicles (OMV) may deny a contract to any person, natural or juridical, seeking to be a public tag agent if that person has been found to be in violation of any rule or regulation promulgated by the office of motor vehicles pertaining to the issuance of a motor vehicle title, registration, or driver's license within the two-year period prior to the date of application.

<u>Proposed law</u> authorizes OMV to suspend, revoke, cancel, or impose other restrictions on any public tag agent contract confected pursuant to <u>present law</u> for the following causes:

- (1) Failure to remit taxes and fees collected from applicants for title transfers.
- (2) Operating as a public tag agent without a contract for each location, with an expired contract, or without a valid surety bond on file with OMV.
- (3) Issuance of more than one temporary registration (T-Marker) to a title applicant, or issuing a T-Marker without first collecting all taxes and fees.
- (4) Operating from an unapproved location.
- (5) Changing the ownership of the public tag agent and not reporting in writing to OMV within 30 days from the date of such change.
- (6) Changing the officers or directors of the public tag agent and not reporting in writing to OMV within 30 days from the date of such change.
- (7) Being a principal or accessory to the alteration of documents relevant to a registration or titling transaction that results in a material injury to the public records or a shortfall in the collection of taxes owed.
- (8) The forwarding to OMV by a public tag agent of a document relevant to a registration or titling transaction that results in a material injury to the public records, or a shortfall in the collection of taxes owed when the public tag agent had knowledge of facts causing such injury or shortfall, and failed to disclose same to OMV.
- (9) Conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty or nolo contendere to, any felony or conviction of, or entry of a plea of guilty or nolo contendere to, any criminal charge an element of which is fraud.
- (10) Fraud, deceit, or perjury in obtaining any contract perfected pursuant to R.S. 47:532.1.
- (11) Failure to maintain at all times during the term of the contract all qualifications required by R.S. 47:532.1 or by rule adopted by OMV.
- (12) Any other cause OMV may establish through the adoption of a rule.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that any person whose public tag agent contract has been suspended, canceled, or revoked during the effective term of the contract may request an administrative hearing to review OMV's action. A request for administrative review shall stay the action of OMV.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that OMV may issue an order to any person engaged in any activity, conduct, or practice constituting a violation of <u>present law</u> or <u>proposed law</u> relating to public tag agent contracts or any rules or regulations adopted pursuant to <u>present law</u> or <u>proposed</u> law, directing such person to cease and desist from such activity, conduct, or practice.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that if the person to whom OMV directs a cease and desist order does not cease and desist the proscribed activity, conduct, or practice within 10 days from service of such cease and desist order by certified mail, OMV may cause to issue a writ of injunction enjoining such person from engaging in any activity, conduct, or practice proscribed by <u>present law</u> or <u>proposed law</u> relating to public tag agents, or any rules or regulations adopted pursuant to either.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides for that such proceeding shall be brought in the district court having civil jurisdiction in any parish in which such person resides, or is domiciled or has his principal place of business. If the person whose contract is to be suspended, revoked, canceled, or otherwise restricted is a nonresident and is not domiciled within the state, such proceeding may be brought in the 19th Judicial District Court for the parish of East Baton Rouge.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that upon a proper showing by OMV that such person has engaged or is engaged in any activity, conduct, or practice proscribed by <u>present law</u> or <u>proposed law</u> relating to public tag agent contracts or any rules or regulations adopted pursuant to either, the court shall issue a temporary restraining order restraining the person from engaging in unlawful activity, conduct, or practices pending the hearing on a preliminary injunction, and in due course a permanent injunction shall issue after hearing, commanding the cessation of the unlawful activity, conduct, or practice complained of, all without the necessity of OMV having to give bond as usually required in such cases.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that the trial of the proceeding by injunction shall be a summary proceeding, and shall be by the judge alone without a jury.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that a public tag agent with an existing contract with the Department of Public Safety and Corrections, OMV, as of Aug. 1, 2015, shall not be required to obtain a surety bond in the amounts required by <u>proposed law</u> until the renewal date of the contract. Thereafter, all public tag agents shall be required to obtain surety bonds in the amounts required by the provisions of <u>proposed law</u>.

Effective July 1, 2015.

(Amends R.S. 32:728(intro. para.), (1) and (8) and R.S. 47:532.1(A)(1), (3)(a), and (6); Adds R.S. 47:532.2 and 532.3)