

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICEFiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB** 336 HLS 15RS 771

Bill Text Version: ENROLLED

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For.:

Date: June 11, 2015 4:21 PM

Author: CONNICK

Dept./Agy.: Revenue

Subject: Motor vehicle interstate tax avoidance Analyst: Deborah Vivien

TAX/SALES & USE

EN INCREASE GF RV See Note

Page 1 of 1

Provides for the collection of sales and use tax imposed on the purchase of motor vehicles by certain foreign business entities

Current law imposes a 4% state sales tax on motor vehicles.

<u>Proposed law</u> retains current law and authorizes the Department of Revenue to impose the tax if the purpose of a foreign business was tax avoidance and the tax would have been due had the business been formed in the state. To determine tax avoidance, the secretary may consider business purpose, compensation, physical location and use, employment practices, tax filings, etc.

Effective upon governor's signature.

EXPENDITURES	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19 2019-20		5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total						

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

Change {S&H}

To the extent that corporations are being formed in other states in order to avoid paying sales tax on motor vehicle purchases in LA, this bill may help the Department of Revenue in enforcement efforts and thereby increase SGF revenue of sales tax remittances. However, it is not possible to estimate the activity that might no longer occur due to this bill, but any consequent enforcement/compliance success at all can only work to increase revenue collections.

<u>Senate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>House</u>	6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	Sheggy V. allect
13.5.1 >=	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S	5&H}	$6.8(F)(2) >= $500,000 \text{ Rev. Red. to State } \{H \& S\}$	
13.5.2 >=	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee			Gregory V. Albrecht Chief Economist
	Change (COII)		N-1 F D (C)	CITIEL ECOHOLISE

or a Net Fee Decrease {S}