	LEGISI	ATIVE FISCAL OFFICE				
		Fiscal Note				
		Fiscal Note On:	HB	28	HLS 161ES	67
::Leg韻龍tive		Bill Text Version:	ENGRO	DSSED		
FiscalisOffice		Opp. Chamb. Action:				
		Proposed Amd.:				
		Sub. Bill For.:				
Date: February 24, 2016	9:55 AM	Author: COX				

 Dept./Agy.: Revenue
 Analyst: Deborah Vivien

 Subject:
 Reduces vendor discounts on alcohol and beer
 Analyst: Deborah Vivien

TAX/ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGEEG +\$750,000 GF RV See NotePage 1 of 1Reduces the amount of the discount for accurately reporting and remitting excise taxes on alcoholic beverages and beer(Item #23)

<u>Current law</u> allows a discount of 3 1/3% of timely filing and payment of the state excise tax on high alcohol beverages (wine and liquor) and 2% of timely remittances of the state excise tax on low alcohol beverages (beer and malt liquor). Local excise tax remittances on low alcohol beverages are also allowed a 2% discount for timely remittance. The first handler remits the tax and receives the discount.

<u>Proposed law</u> reduces the discount rates by half to 1 2/3% for high alcohol content (wine and liquor) and 1% for low alcohol content (beer and malt liquor). Local discounts remain unchanged. Effective upon governor's signature.

EXPENDITURES	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2016-17	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	2019-20	<u>2020-21</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$3,750,000
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$3,750,000

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure. The Department indicates that any implementation costs will be absorbed in the current budget. Though the cost of this bill is minimal, the aggregate impact of all session bills may require additional resources. Some implementation issues are expected if the bill is signed and rate changes are made effective in the middle of a month.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

According to the Department of Revenue, discounts for beer and alcohol totaled \$1.5M in Y15, with \$800,000 from alcohol sales and \$700,000 from beer sales. If the rates are cut in half and sales remain stable, the state would retain roughly \$750,000 annually due to the reduced discounts.

If the bill is signed by the governor such that its changes are effective on April 1, 2016, the state would retain roughly onefourth of the annual amount or \$190,000 during FY 16.

Senate Dual Referral Rules House		Sugar V. allect
13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}	6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	•0
13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}	6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	Gregory V. Albrecht Chief Economist