LEGISLA	TIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note
Louisiana	Fiscal Note On: HB 62 HLS 161ES 44
- Legiantive	Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED
Fiscality	Opp. Chamb. Action:
	Proposed Amd.:
	Sub. Bill For.:
Date: February 24, 2016 10:02 AM	Author: JACKSON
Dept./Agy.: Revenue	
Subject: New Penny State Sales and Use Tax	Analyst: Deborah Vivien

TAX/SALES-USE, STATE

EG +\$913,200,000 GF RV See Note

Page 1 of 1

Impose a one cent sales and use tax (Item #9)

<u>Current law</u> imposes a 4% state sales and use tax on sales of tangible personal property and certain services with specific exemptions and exclusions. A statutory dedication of 0.4% of remittances with \$2M to the Marketing Fund and the remainder to the LA Economic Development (LED) Fund are required. Business utilities are taxed at 1% for 2015-16 fiscal year.

<u>Proposed law</u> levies an additional 1% state sales and use tax on sales of tangible personal property and certain services with specific exemptions and exclusions. Items that are not taxable under the original 4% state sales tax but are taxable under the proposed 1% sales tax include: Business utilities, purchases of manufacturing machinery and equipment (MM&E), certain trucks and trailers used 80% in interstate commerce, and purchases during sales tax holidays, among many other transactions. The dedication to the Marketing Fund and LED Fund are retained. Effective upon signature for taxable periods beginning April 1, 2016.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	2019-20	<u>2020-21</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$913,200,000	\$913,200,000	\$913,200,000	\$913,200,000	\$913,200,000	\$4,566,000,000
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$3,700,000	\$3,700,000	\$3,700,000	\$3,700,000	\$3,700,000	\$18,500,000
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$916,900,000	\$916,900,000	\$916,900,000	\$916,900,000	\$916,900,000	\$4,584,500,000

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

The Department of Revenue indicates that any expense related to this bill will be absorbed in the current budget. However, timplementation will require a substantial effort to change forms, systems and provide customer service for such an extensive change to a multitude of items that were not previously taxed and others with a tax rate increase. Costs and human resources required for implementation could be significant.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

E

With sales tax collections currently showing little growth over last year, FY 15 actual figures will serve as an estimate for an annual impact to state revenues with respect to currently taxed transactions. The Department of Revenue data in the Tax Exemption Budget is used for currently exempt transactions. These data sources suggest that state revenue will increase in FY17 due to these major factors (\$ millions):

	Annual	FY 16*
1% on Current Taxable Base	790.7	197.7
<u>1</u> % on New Taxable Base	115.2	22.9
1% on Hotels	11.0	2.8
GROSS Collections	916.9	223.4
less: Dedication of 0.4% to LED Fund	(3.7)	(0.9)
NET Collections	913.2	222.5

1% on New Taxable	Base (\$ Milli	ons)
	Annual	FY 16*
MM&E	18.8	4.7
Business Utilities	60.0	15.0
Certain Trucks and Trailers	4.9	1.2
Sales Tax Holidays	1.3	0.0
Other (Assuming 10%)	22.6	0.0
1% Base	7.8	2.0
TOTAL	115.2	22.9

*These estimates imply a full quarter of unprotested collections based on an annualized amount. Actual collections could be lower, especially in the first months of implementation as compliance improves. The category of "Other" sales is assumed at zero for the first three months. The first FY 16 collections affected by this bill will be due May 20. Any error inherent in the base figures are also carried into this estimate, which increases uncertainty.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	House		Alegoy V. allert
13.5.1 >=	= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {	[S&H}	6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	18
x 13.5.2 >=	= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}		6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	Gregory V. Albrecht Chief Economist