### 2016 Regular Session

### HOUSE BILL NO. 616

### BY REPRESENTATIVES HENRY AND BARRAS AND SENATORS ALARIO AND LAFLEUR

### APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the judiciary for Fiscal Year 2016-2017

1	AN ACT
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.
5	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
6	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Eighty-Six Million Seven Hundred Thirteen
7	Thousand Five Hundred Ninety Two No/100 (\$186,713,592.00) Dollars, or so much thereof
8	as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary,
9	including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District
10	Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.
11	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
12	03-8170 SUPREME COURT
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<b>Program Description:</b> The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.
25 26 27	<b>Mission Statement:</b> The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench

# promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench

## Page 1 of 15

1 2 and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.

3 Goal: To protect the rule of law.

4 5 6 7 8 9	<b>Objective:</b> To provide a reasonable oppor Supreme Court of decisions made by lower the <i>General Performance Information:</i>		igants to seek	review in th
$\tilde{7}$		2013	2014	2015
8	Total Filings	3,017	2,716	2,365
9	Total Appeals Filed	18	12	6
10	Total Writs Filed	2,790	2,496	2,172
11	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,500	2,592	2,486
12	Goal: To promote the rule of law.			
13 14	<b>Objective:</b> To resolve cases in a timely man <i>General Performance Information:</i>	nner.		
15	General I ergormanee Ingormanon.	2013	2014	2015
16 17 18	Percentage of noncriminal case applications acted on within Supreme Court standard of 120 days of filing	94.3%	91.8%	97.1%
19 20 21	Percentage of criminal case applications acted on within Supreme Court standard of 120 days of filing	37%	30.7%	36.1%
22 23 24 25	Percentage of pro se post conviction applications acted on within Supreme Court standard of 120 days of filing Percentage of bar disciplinary filings	4.8%	2.1%	3%
26 27 28	acted upon within Supreme Court standard of 120 days of filing Percentage of opinions rendered within	95.2%	95.2%	97%
29 30	Supreme Court standard of 84 days from argument	83.2%	81.5%	75.8%

31 Goal: To ensure the public trust.

### Objective: To facilitate public access to Supreme Court decisions. **General Performance Information:**

	2013	2014	2015
Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%

### Objective: To inform the public of operations and activities. General Performance Information:

	2013	2014	2015
Number of outreach programs	78	58	100
Number of media releases on court decisions	73	64	60
Number of media releases on other matters	24	25	12
Number of recipients of releases on			
court decisions	1,760	1,485	1,632
Number of recipients of releases on other			
matters	4,694	3,633	4,700

#### Objective: To ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of the bench. ufa

General Performance Information:			
	2013	2014	2015
Average number of hours acquired			
through continuing legal education			
per judge	36.36	33.59	34.25
Number of complaints filed against			
judges and justices of the peace	496	495	529
Number of complaints against judges			
and justices of the peace resolved or			
disposed of in the calendar year	526	477	601
1 V V			

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	bar.	tive: To ensure the highest professional of	conduct, inte	egrity, and com	petence of the		
3 4	Gener	al Performance Information:	2013	2014	2015		
5	Averag	e number of hours acquired through	2015	2014	2015		
6		ntinuing legal education per lawyer	15.46	14.92	15.12		
1	Numbe	r of complaints filed against lawyers	3,038	3,040	2,950		
8 9		r of complaints filed against lawyers solved or disposed of in calendar year	3,319	3,140	3,046		
10	Payable o	out of the State General Fund (Dir	rect):				
11 12 13	01	Salaries of one (1) Chief Justic Associate Justices of the Supre as provided by R.S. 13:102			\$		1,100,726
13		as provided by R.S. 15:102			Φ		1,100,720
14	02	Salaries and other expenses of	the				
15		Supreme Court Proper and sala					
16		the Crier of the Supreme Court	•		\$		10,645,162
17	03	Expenses of Judicial Administr	rator's				
18		Office and of the Judiciary Cor					
19		provided for in Article V, Secti					
20		25 of the Constitution of Louis					
21		under the provisions of R.S. 13	:32 et seq	ŀ			
22	А	. Expenses of Judicial Administr	ator's Off	fice	\$		5,220,562
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	admini Louisid of new provid retiren techno The Ju advisen	am Description: The Judicial Adm stration of the state court system. It sta- una. Through the Judicial Council, it per judgeships and for improving the adm es payroll and other fiscal services to ent system; support for the Supreme logical services to courts; and, manage dicial Administrator's Office also man nent, provides outreach services to state rforms numerous legal services for the	offs the Judi forms studia inistration of the Judicia e Court an es the Trial ages the aa e and local of	cial Council a es and makes re of justice. The ry, including t d Appellate C Court Case M l hoc judgeshi courts, staffs th	nd the Judiciar ecommendation Judicial Admin he administrat Court human r anagement Info p system, moni- e Committee or	y Co s for nistr ion eson orma tors	ommission of r the creation rator's Office of a judicial urce system; ation System. cases under
33	В	Expenses of Judiciary Commis	sion		\$		2,185,884
34 35 36 37	Article of judi	am Description: The Judiciary Commis. V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 197 cial misconduct. As part of its authori sion, removal from office, or involuntar	4 to accept, ty, it may r	screen, invest ecommend to t	igate, and prose he Supreme Co	ecut ourt	te complaints the censure,
38	C	Court Reporters; Statistical Rep	porting Sy	vstems	\$		414,690
20	Л	Dues to National Contan for Sta	to Court		¢		156 252

39	D	. Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$ 156,352
40 41	04	Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX	\$ 3,000
42 43 44 45	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$ 1,706,374

## Page 3 of 15

1 2 3	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$	2,054,682
4 5		<b>am Description:</b> The Law Library of Louisiana serves the legal in ary, and is open to members of the bar and public.	formation nee	eds of the state
6 7 8 9	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	180,323
10 11 12 13	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	1,365,669
14 15 16 17	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,469,984
18	10	Judicial College	\$	288,947
19 20	0	<b>am Description:</b> <i>The Judicial College was established by order of the continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.</i>	he Supreme C	ourt in 1976 to
21 22 23 24 25	11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at	\$	18,569,674
26 27	12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	259,462
28 29	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000
30 31 32 33	14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System	\$	1,434,013
34	15	Information Technology	\$	1,116,030
35 36 37	16	Payable out of the State General Fund for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	2,503,181
38 39 40	develo	<b>am Description:</b> The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to a ping and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, a e of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FIN	implementing,	and mandating

Program Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist local FINS processes by
 developing and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implementing, and mandating
 the use of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing
 and mandating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and reporting performance
 indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports and financial accountability;
 and, generally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways.

## Page 4 of 15

#### HLS 16RS-485 **ORIGINAL** HB NO. 616 1 17 18,009,755 Drug court maintenance and enhancement \$ 234 56 Program Description: Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. 13:5301 through R.S. 13:5304, provided integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives with case processing to place low-level, nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judicially supervised rehabilitation programs. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with educational, employment, and treatment requirements set by the court. 7 18 **Court Appointed Special Advocates** \$ 7,038,020 89 Program Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to promote timely placement of children in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance with the provisions of 10 Children's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA programs which recruit, 11 screen, train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the trial judge, qualified 12 advocates serve children by providing independent factual information to the judge, advocating for the best

judge in the determination of the best interest of the children involved.

Payable out of the State General Fund

from Statutory Dedications, Judges'

Supplemental Compensation Fund,

R. S. 13:10.3, be it more or less

interest of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and advising and assisting the

in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding

source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3. 24 20 Payable out of the State General Fund 25 from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court 26 Case Management Information Fund, for 27 the Case Management Information System, 28 Article 887 (F) of the Code of Criminal 29 Procedure, be it more or less estimated at

30 Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme 31 32 33 34 Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies. Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS 35 is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under 36 C.Cr.P.887(F).

37 TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND 38 BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS

TOTAL - GENERAL FUND

estimated at

39 TOTAL SUPREME COURT

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

 $\overline{23}$ 

19

40 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL

41 **Program Description:** The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, 42 43 Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory 44 45 jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all 46 47 criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

48 Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide 49 meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory 50 51 jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

## Page 5 of 15

75,782,490

Program Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the Legislature

\$ 4,183,761

10,407,485

86,189,975

\$ 6,223,724

1 **Goal:** To protect the rule of law.

2 Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions made by lower tribunals.

5	induc c	y lower urbunais.					
4 5 6 7	Genera	al Performance Information:	2013	2014	2015		
6	Total	uppeals filed	2,382	2,050	2,053		
7		vrits filed	4,973	4,325	4,048		
8		lispositions rendered	6,432	<i>4,323</i> 5,741	5,019		
9		-	0,452	5,771	5,017		
		To promote the rule of law.					
10 11		tive: To resolve cases expeditiously. al Performance Information:					
12			2013	2014	2015		
13	Averag	ge number of days from lodging of the					
14		to argument:					
15	Time S	tandard = no more than 175 days.					
16	Crimin	al cases	179	151	143		
17	Civil C		160	149	147		
18	Mediar	n number of days for all cases	165	149	146		
19 20		ge number of days from argument to ing of the opinion:					
21		tandard = no more than 70 days.					
22		al cases	38	38	43		
23	Civil c		44	44	43		
24		n number of days for all cases	42	43	43		
25		To preserve public trust.					
26	Object	tive: To facilitate public access to the	decisions of	the courts of a	appeal.		
$\overline{27}$		al Performance Information:			- F F		
$\overline{28}$			2013	2014	2015		
29	Percen	tage of written opinions available					
30		the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%		
31	Payable o	out of the State General Fund:					
32	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg	jes				
33		and forty-eight (48) Judges of					
34		the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:				\$	7,739,935
35	02	Salaries and expenses of opera	ation and				
36		maintenance of the Court of A					
			appear,			¢	10 247 000
37		First Circuit				\$	10,247,908
38	03	Salaries and expenses of operative	ation and				
39	05						
		maintenance of the Court of A	appear,			¢	
40		Second Circuit				\$	5,725,336
41	04	Salaries and expenses of operative	ation and				
	04						
42		maintenance of the Court of A	appeal,				
43		Third Circuit				\$	8,778,318
44	05	Salaries and expenses of operation	ation and				
45		maintenance of the Court of A					
			ippeai,			¢	9 150 170
46		Fourth Circuit				\$	8,150,170
. –	-						
47	06	Salaries and expenses of opera	ation and				
48		maintenance of the Court of A	ppeal,				
49		Fifth Circuit	·			\$	5,947,429
						<u>+</u>	
50	TOTAL (	COURTS OF APPEAL				\$	46,589,096

Page 6 of 15

1	03-8172	DISTRICT COURTS				
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	<b>Program Description:</b> There are forty-one district courts in Louisiana that have general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions, respectively. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction					
19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide</li> <li>equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence</li> <li>and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural</li> </ul>					
24	Goal:	To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.				
25 26 27 28	and con	<b>ive:</b> To encourage responsible parties to make court facilitation to the second secon	ties safe 2013	, accessib 2014	le, 2015	
29 30 31	ac	tage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating tions taken in FY 2014-2015 to improve compliance with e Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)		97.9%	93.8%	
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	costs o of mor afforda <i>Genera</i> <i>Percen</i> act	<b>Il Performance Information:</b> tage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating tions taken in FY 2014-2015 to assist self-represented	er measu easonabl 2013	red in terr	ns	
41 42		To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the cou- lely and expeditious manner.	irt and it	ts activitie	S	
43 44 45		ive: To encourage timely case management and processing al <i>Performance Information</i> :	g. 2013	2014	2015	
46 47		r of parishes reporting criminal disposition ta to CMIS	63	64	64	
48 49 50 51 52	Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within	99%	100%	100%		
53 53	tin		91.7%	91.7%	97.9%	
55 56 57	<b>Genera</b> Percen	ive: To enhance jury service. Il Performance Information: tage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating	2013	2014	2015	
58 59		at their court had taken steps within FY 2014-2015 make jury service more convenient or effective	97.7%	93%	100%	

Goal: To provide due process and equal protection of the law to all who have business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures and decisions.

-		the court, and to demonstrate integrity in an procedures	and deelsi	0115.		
3 4	as neces		to adjust c	ourt operat	ions	
5	Genera	l Performance Information:				
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	act tra	tage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating ions taken in FY 2014-2015 to improve employee ining and development tage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating	<b>2013</b> 89.6%	<b>2014</b> 95.8%	<b>20</b> 1 87.	15 5%
11 12	act	ions taken in FY 2014-2015 to install or implement hnologies	91.7%	95.8%	100	0%
13 14		To maintain judicial independence, while observing the permental relations and accountability to the public.	principle c	of comity in	n	
15 16		ive: To inform the community of the court's structure an <i>I Performance Information:</i>		1.		
17			2013	2014	201	15
18 19 20	tha	tage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating t their courts regularly provided public education d public outreach services in FY 2014-2015	93.8%	89.6%	97.	9%
21	Payable of	ut of the State General Fund:				
22 23 24	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety-one (191) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691			\$	26,590,676
25 26 27	02	Office and travel expenses of District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively			\$	1,285,250
28 29 30	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:691			\$	1,949,055
31 32 33 34 35	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries of stenographers, clerks, law books, stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 13:698			\$	80,500
36 37 38 39	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters of the Twentieth Judicial District Court, including retirement contributions, as provided by R.S. 13:966.1			\$	116,251
40 41	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)			\$	10,000
42 43 44 45	07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S.42:851			\$	7,306,821

Page 8 of 15

1 2 3 4 5 6	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	552,719
7 8 9 10	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	480,412
11 12 13 14	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	315,452
15 16	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	50,556
17 18 19	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	<u>\$</u>	35,000
20	SUB	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	38,772,692
• •				

### 21 13 Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans

Program Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has exclusive jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges and the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.

30 31 32	A. Salaries of thirteen (13) District Juc Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as p by R.S. 13:691	-	1,809,837
33 34	B. Office expenses of Judges of Crimi Orleans Parish as provided by R.S.	-	74,750
35 36	C. State's share of group insurance for of Criminal Court as provided by R	1	779,271
37 38	D. Salaries of thirteen (13) minute cler by R.S. 13:1373.1	ks as provided \$	284,627
39 40	E. Salaries of twenty-six (26) court rep provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	porters as \$	449,821
41 42 43	F. Salaries of four (4) commissioners Court, Orleans Parish, including rel as provided by R.S. 13:1347		493,022
44 45	G. Office and travel expenses of comm provided by R.S. 13:1347	nissioners as \$	10,600

	HLS 16RS	5-485		DRIGINAL HB NO. 616
1 2	Н.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	73,003
3 4	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	55,034
5 6	J.	J. Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits		1,000,721
7	K.	K. Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks		729,085
8	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$	211,288
9	M.	M. Sanity Commissions		200,000
10	N.	Board of Jury Commissioners	\$	430,381
11	SUBT	OTAL	\$	6,601,440
12	14	Juvenile and Family Court Judges		
13 14	A.	Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,949,055
15 16	B.	Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	556,873
17 18 19	C.	Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively	<u>\$</u>	103,500
20	SUBTOTAL		\$	2,609,428
21	TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS <u>\$ 47,9</u>		47,983,560	
22 23		OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSE FATUTE	S AS I	REQUIRED
24 25 26		<b>m Description:</b> The category includes forty-seven city courts, one muni New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascen		
27 28 29 30 31	<ul> <li>Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to provide</li> <li>access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to</li> <li>provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial</li> <li>independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the</li> <li>procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.</li> </ul>			
32	2 <b>Goal:</b> To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.			
33 34 35 36 37	<ul> <li>costs of access to the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of</li> <li>money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable.</li> <li><i>General Performance Information:</i></li> </ul>			
37 38 39 40	act	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating ions taken in FY 2014-2015 to assist pro litigants 100% 100%	<b>201</b> 96.2	

se litigants 100% 100% 96.2%

41 **Goal:** To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.

43 **Objective:** To encourage timely case management and processing.

Page 10 of 15

1General Performance Information:2201320143Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges4indicating that their courts had taken steps within5FY 2014-2015 to reduce delays and improve the6timeliness of case processing7Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in8its governmental relations and accountability to the public.9Objective: To inform the community of the court's structure and function.10General Performance Information:20132014201320142015	i		
<ul> <li>Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in its governmental relations and accountability to the public.</li> <li>Objective: To inform the community of the court's structure and function.</li> <li><i>General Performance Information:</i></li> </ul>	i		
<ul> <li>8 its governmental relations and accountability to the public.</li> <li>9 Objective: To inform the community of the court's structure and function.</li> <li>10 General Performance Information:</li> </ul>			
10 General Performance Information:			
<ul> <li>Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating</li> <li>that their courts regularly provided public education and</li> </ul>			
14         public outreach services in FY 2014-2015         92.2%         92.3%         92.3%			
15 <b>Objective:</b> To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court			
<ul> <li>16 operations as necessary.</li> <li>17 <i>General Performance Information:</i></li> </ul>			
18 2013 2014 2015			
19 20Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2014-2015 to improve			
20actions taken in FY 2014-2015 to improve21employee training and development22Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating23actions taken in FY 2014-2015 to install or	)		
22 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating			
23actions taken in FY 2014-2015 to install or24implement technologies86.3%92.3%96.2%			
24 <i>implement technologies</i> 86.3% 92.3% 96.2%	)		
25 Payable out of the State General Fund:			
2601Salaries of sixty (60) City Court			
27Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875\$	2,697,015		
28 02 Salaries of four (4) Municipal, four (4)			
29 Traffic and one (1) Parish Court			
30 Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2492,			
31         13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respectively         \$	435,011		
32 TOTAL OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>3,132,026</u>		
3303-8174OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENS34REQUIRED BY STATUTE	ES NOT		
S- REQUIRED DI STATUTE			
35 Payable out of the State General Fund:			
36 01 Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective	506 000		
37Care Monitoring Program\$	596,209		
<ul> <li>Program Description: The program tracks and maintains child abuse and neglect cases in the Orleans</li> <li>Parish Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support of the Families in Need of Services Program.</li> </ul>			
4002Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters\$	88,387		
41 03 For the expenses of the Judges'			
42 Assistance Program <u>\$</u>	63,486		
<ul> <li>43 Program Description: The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling and other assistance to judges</li> <li>44 with substance abuse problems.</li> </ul>			

### HLS 16RS-485

2,070,853

### 1 03-8175 NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES

2 Payable out of the State General Fund:

### 3 01 Legal representation of children in child protection cases $\frac{2,070,853}{2}$

**Program Description:** As recommended by the Task Force on Legal representation in Child Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administration, in order to advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court administers funding to provide qualified legal representation for children in child protection cases as required to fulfill the state's statutory responsibility.

### 9 TOTAL NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES

Section 2.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this Act.

B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary inan approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.

19 C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously 20 appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest 21 earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary; 22 however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state 23 general fund on or before September 1, 2017.

D. For Fiscal Year 2016-2017, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme Court.

### Page 12 of 15

### HLS 16RS-485

F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, objectives,
goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted
into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained
in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G
General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

6 G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial 7 branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the 8 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary 9 Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the 10 administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the 11 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary 12 Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be 13 considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency 14 employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act. 15 Section 3. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2016; if vetoed by the governor 16 and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2016,

17 or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

### DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB	616	Original
ПD	010	Oliginal

2016 Regular Session

Henry

**Abstract:** Appropriates funds for FY 2016-2017 for expenses of the La. Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for FY 2016-2017 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$186,713,592 from the following sources: \$176,306,107 out of the State General Fund (Direct); and, \$10,407,485 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows:

### HLS 16RS-485

(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$	86,189,975
(2)	Courts of Appeal		46,589,096
(3)	District Courts		38,772,692
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans		6,601,440
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts		2,609,428
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)		3,132,026
(7)	Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)		748,082
(8)	Non-Judicial State Expenses		2,070,853
	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	186,713,592

Effective July 1, 2016.

### COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2015-2016 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2016-2017 as contained in the original bill.

Oj	perating Budget	Appropriation Request
Courts and Programs	FY 2015-2016	FY 2016-2017
Supreme Court Total Supreme Court	\$71,822,985	\$75,782,490
Courts of Appeal Total Courts of Appeal	\$45,429,313	\$46,589,096
District Courts Total District Courts	\$46,269,302	\$47,983,560
Other Courts Total Other Courts	\$3,062,051	\$3,132,026
Other Programs Total Other Programs	\$718,340	\$748,082
Non-Judicial State Expenses Total State Expenses	<u>\$2,060,276</u>	_\$2,070,853
Total State General Fund and Interagency Transfer		
All Line Items	<u>\$169,362,267</u>	<u>\$176,306,107</u>
Total Statutory Dedications	<u>\$10,240,925</u>	<u>\$10,407,485</u>
Total Funding	<u>\$179,603,192</u>	<u>\$186,713,592</u>