

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB** 772 HLS 16RS 1267

Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For .:

Date: March 30, 2016

9:15 AM

Author: ABRAMSON

Dept./Agy.: Revenue

Subject: Procurement Processing Company

Analyst: Deborah Vivien

TAX/TAX REBATES

Provides relative to certain rebate programs

Page 1 of 1

Act 800 of the 2012 session authorized the state to contract with procurement processing companies that generate transactions on products that are not required to enter the state, but are presumably subject to LA state sales tax. A portion of the associated sales tax is to be rebated back to the procurement processing company. The portions not rebated back are dedicated to a variety purposes. The Department of Economic Development is authorized to contract with procurement processing companies for 20 years (and 20 years renewable) for program eligibility.

EG NO IMPACT GF RV See Note

This bill removes the Department of Economic Development as the contracting authority and replaces it with the Department of Revenue.

EXPENDITURES	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure. Committee testimony indicated that the state currently has two procurement processing contracts in place.

Senate Dual Referral Rules House 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H} 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	_				Gregory V. Albrecht	
Senate <u>Dual Referral Rules</u> <u>House</u>] 13	3.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Co	st {S&H}	6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}		
	Senat	te <u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>House</u>		Som V. allech	*

13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H} 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

Gregory V. Albrecht Chief Economist