## DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 180 Reengrossed	2016 Regular Session	Jefferson
$\mathcal{B}$		

**Abstract:** Provides for credit for time served pending a probation revocation hearing and requires the court to specify whether a subsequent sentence shall run concurrently or consecutively.

<u>Present law</u> provides that offenders may be placed on probation and provides for revocation hearings for violations of probation due to the commission of a second offense.

<u>Present law</u> provides that no credit shall be allowed for time spent on probation or for the time elapsed during suspension of the sentence.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that the defendant shall be given credit for time served prior to the revocation hearing for time served in actual custody while being held for a probation violation in a local detention facility, state institution, or out-of-state institution.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that when the new conviction is a Louisiana conviction, the court shall specify in the minutes whether the sentence shall run consecutively or concurrently with the sentence for the new conviction.

(Amends C.Cr.P. Art 901(C))

## Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

- The Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Administration of Criminal</u> Justice to the <u>original</u> bill:
- 1. Changes the language from mandatory to permissive regarding a sentence running concurrently unless the judge orders that the sentence run consecutively.

## The House Floor Amendments to the engrossed bill:

1. Changes language regarding sentences to require court to specify in minutes whether the sentence shall run consecutively or concurrently.